

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_98494
Title of the Manuscript:	AMREF Awareness Creation Campaign and Reduction of Obstetrics Fistula Stigma: Evidence from Misungwi District, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>It is important for the scientific community but needs revision.</p> <p>The title is suitable but try to avoid abbreviations.</p> <p>The abstract should include slightly more details about the methods applied.</p> <p>The subsections are well structured. However, try to follow the journal guideline.</p> <p>Its scientifically correct but needs to include the detailed information as below;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Introduction should have the importance/rationale for the study - Literature review should be shortened and include the main focus of the study only - Methodology should include the sample size calculation, ethical aspects, tools and techniques, validity, data entry and analysis. - Try to discuss the major issues from the findings and also add the limitations of the study in the discussion part - The references are enough but include recent one (There are many old one) 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It's fairly good. It could be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Please paraphrase the sentences, and include information as needed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kshitij Karki
Department, University & Country	Asian College for Advance Studies, Purbanchal University, Nepal