

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_106338
Title of the Manuscript:	Hyaline membrane disease in premature infants: clinical, therapeutic and evolutionary aspects
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>There is a continued search for information and data on hyaline membrane disease of premature neonates. In that aspect this study adds information to existing data.</p> <p>Yes. But lacks the type of study in the title.</p> <p>No. Needs improvement.</p> <p>No. Needs improvement. Kindly see comments below.</p> <p>Not in this format. Needs improvement. Kindly see comments below.</p> <p>Yes. Yet consider providing more as discussion needs more information.</p> <p>Introduction: Brief upon other the potential etiologies association with hyaline membrane disease that has led to the objective of your study. Provide hypothesis for the study.</p> <p>Methods: Provide institutional approval information for the study. Explain how many patients were screened and then included. Clearly define all the outcomes and their definitions. Also include any potential confounders. Were there any efforts to address any potential bias. Describe any statistical methods used.</p> <p>Results: Consider providing a consort diagram for the research participants at each level of the study. Provide the outcome data in tables.</p> <p>Discussion: Discuss each outcome in the discussion. Information provided in conclusion need to be discussed here. Provide limitations of this study. Provide the generalizability of your study results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs improvement. It includes details such as abbreviations.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Although the study is interesting, it falls short in regard to how in has been written up. Need significant improvement. Using checklist for retrospective studies will be helpful.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vendhan Ramanujam
Department, University & Country	Brown University, U.S.A