

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_105571
Title of the Manuscript:	Towards the Discovery of Lead Anticancer Agents: An In silico Exploration of Crinine Alkaloids for Cytotoxic, Caspase-3 Activating and Anti-Angiogenic Compounds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	None	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	None	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The topic "Towards the Discovery of Lead Anticancer Agents: An In silico Exploration of Crinine Alkaloids for Cytotoxic, Caspase-3 Activating and Anti-Angiogenic Compounds" is fine in the field. However, before accepting for publication, several points needs to concern and revise.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Typographical errors exist throughout the manuscript. Rectify carefully. 2. The conclusion needs to take only the significant point from the review and summarize with cover whole work. 3. The figure need add more detail of figure or figure legend or label in the picture 4. Some references need to revise and update. The 199X year may not suitable for the current study. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Utthapon Issara
Department, University & Country	Rajamangala University of Technology, Thailand