

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies                               |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJESS_105885   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Pre-concept Inquiry of "Matter State Changes" in Junior High School Science |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. The topic is also of academic importance. The missing link the author is investigating here might be an addition to the academic field.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title is fine.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is good and comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient, but they <b>MUST BE</b> formatted according to a particular style required by this journal (APA, Harvard, etc.). In-text citations should be included in Section 2. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PRE-CONCEPT, Section 3. INFLUENCE OF THE PRE-CONCEPT, and Section 6. REASONS FOR THE FORMATION OF PRE-CONCEPT. These parts of the manuscripts are required to be discussed in the light of existing literature in that field.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>Overall, the manuscript is very good and readily publishable.</p>   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Arif Ahmed Zufi  |
| Department, University & Country | School of Education and Lifelong Learning, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom |