

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_106071
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a Smart Air Quality Monitoring System
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community because it attempts at providing a solution to a real world problem. Is the title of the article suitable? The title is good though many similar previous researches with nearly the same Title exist By just a mere Google search. This calls for what new thing, this research brought on board. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? No. The following should be done in this abstract: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It should be written in past tense since it reports what was done in this research. It should be more specific, comprehensive and highly summarized to reflect (what the research was about, what the problem was, what the objectives were, what methods were used, what results were got, how do the results compare with existing results of other systems, what conclusions can be drawn from these results. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate but a lot of details and evidence need to be provided by the author. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? To a small extent yes, but to a large extent No, because more compelling evidence was not provided well in the write up as indicated in the manuscript. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Yes, these references are very good, recent and relevant. Though the authors should expose the actual gaps that were not addressed by these researchers and innovators. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>To a large extent Yes, though some grammatical corrections, tenses are required as indicated in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript should be beefed up with more discussions of the results that were got out of this research and a more comprehensive explanation should be done in the different sections as indicated in the comments provided in the manuscript.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nabaasa Evarist
Department, University & Country	Mbarara University of Science and Technology, Uganda