

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_105349
Title of the Manuscript:	A Bibliometric Analysis of "Farmers' Rural-Urban Migration" Based on The Scopus Platform
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is important for scientific community. The manuscript is captivating and interesting to read. It displays a high content of novelty/originality. Title of the article is suitable; however, it needs to be modified to read: Bibliometric Analysis of Farmers' Rural-Urban Migration based on the Scopus Platform. Abstract of the article is comprehensive. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate The manuscript is scientifically correct, to the best of my knowledge. The references are sufficient and recent 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The author should refer to the reviewed version of the manuscript for highlighted comments. Minor adjustments have been highlighted on the comment boxes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inibehe George Ukong
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria