

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_106410
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of postpartum depression and associated factors among the postpartum mothers in Vijayanagar District, Karnataka, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- This article studies the PPD in two Indian villages according to SES and personal characteristics. This pathology is important to diagnosis and treat because of its consequences. This article will help to program the mother and child care in low resource countries.</p> <p>2- Title is suitable</p> <p>3- Abstract is clear and comprehensive</p> <p>4- The structure of the article is appropriate but the polices of the different sections must be reviewed</p> <p>5- Yes</p> <p>6- References are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abbreviation PPD must be explained and introduced before the first use, in the first paragraph of the introduction. - You have to specify the studied population in the objectives. - To what refer the Abbreviation OPD (sample for the study), PUC (results and discussion), MUAC (table 2)? - All the number of percentage must be written with the same formula (number of decimales) - Majority of the mothers (53.00%) had consanguineous marriage and only 47.00 per cent had non-consanguineous marriage - Correct "anaemic" - In the table 2 the frequency and percentage must be verified, there are some discordances. - Comparison of the frequency of PPD should be done with population have same characteristics: why do you compare to Ethiopia?!!! - In the discussion: the age of mother is discussed into separated paragraphs with some line explaining SES factors between them !!!, you should put this item in the same paragraph. - I suggest to add other keywords: depression, mother's age ... 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ines Ouertani
Department, University & Country	Hôpital Charles Nicolle, Tunisia