

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_106110 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Psychosocial impact of COVID-19 among recovered workers in the banking sector: a Cross-sectional study |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>The manuscript can be improved by including the statistically proving using hypothesis w.r.t to conditions presented in the paper. Also the period considered under study needs to be justified. Sample size is to be scientifically justified</p> <p>The title is presented as impact study where as no impact evaluation is been done and empirically proven</p> <p>Literature related to the scale items need to be explained and elaborated</p> <p>Needs to be revised</p> <p>Statistical proven tool to be applied</p> <p>Need to add reference from recent studies</p> <p>Recommend major revisions</p> | <p>The study being descriptive and cross-sectional, we did not need to use statistical tests to confirm the research hypothesis. The aim here was to present, at a specific point in time, the description of psychological symptoms exhibited by workers without exploring associations with other variables in the study.</p> <p>The study period does not require justification as we inclusively incorporated all bank employees who agreed to participate in this study.</p> <p>This duration corresponds to the time the researcher spent in the various banks to collect data. Since our sampling was comprehensive, we included the maximum number of workers who had recovered from COVID-19 and agreed to participate in this study. Essentially, this was convenience sampling.</p> <p>The literature regarding the elements of the scale were only succinctly described to facilitate the understanding of our work. However, the bibliographic references [14-18] have been rightly given for readers who wish to delve deeper into the assessment criteria.</p> <p>Our references are very recent, predominantly including articles published since 2020 and even those from 2023. The references [19-23] were overlooked and have been added (highlighted in yellow) directly in the manuscript.</p> <p>We did not need to use proven statistics as that was not the objective of our work. We strictly adhered to the type of study we chose. Comments on this can be addressed in another article</p> |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Needs improvement | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Rashmy Moray |
| Department, University & Country | Symbiosis Institute of Management Studies, Symbiosis International Deemed University, India |