

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106553
Title of the Manuscript:	Unraveling the potential of household electrolytes on extricating Imidacloprid residues from tomatoes: Toxicity assessment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This article is scientifically interesting. It is a question of finding more effective methods for detecting pesticide residues including imidacloprid. This subject thus contributes to food security. 2. Yes the title is suitable 3. The abstract presents the results. It is comprehensive 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct and the results are well presented. 6. Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, English is good	
Optional/General comments	This article is interesting and can be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kouakou Yao Urbain
Department, University & Country	Université de Man, Ivory Coast