

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_105030
Title of the Manuscript:	Minimum dietary diversity and anthropometric measures of nutritional status among children aged 6–23 months in rural Noakhali, Bangladesh.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Comments for authors and editorial teams: The study entitled "<b>Minimum dietary diversity and anthropometric measures of nutritional status among children aged 6–23 months in rural Noakhali, Bangladesh</b>" is interesting. 1- Abstract: Acceptable 2- Keywords could be: Minimum dietary diversity, stunting, infant-young child acceptable diet, food groups 3- Introduction: Acceptable 4- Methodology: Acceptable 5- Results: Acceptable 6- Discussion: Acceptable 7- Bibliography/References: Acceptable 8- Tables and Figures; Acceptable 9- I could not see running title, informed census, funding support declaration and acknowledgment in manuscript. All of these compulsory. <b>Final decision: Manuscript is acceptable for publication after minor correction</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kazemi A.</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tabriz University of Medical Science, Iran</b>