

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_106020
Title of the Manuscript:	Heavy metal assessment in water, sediment and biota from Ennore creek and Vellar estuary, southeast India.
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>There are numerous grammatical errors. For example, in the Abstract, it is written "Water and sediment sample were collected from both sampling station and fish was collected" -----should be "Water and sediment sample were collected from both sampling stations and fish were collected". In Introduction, "extended populace with the improvement of era and manufacturing"....not understood. In Materials and Method, "sample were collected", "dried sample were powdered"...should be "samples were collected", "dried samples were powdered". Apart from these instances English writing is <u>not up to the mark</u> throughout the text. It needs thorough correction and revision.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Figure 7: Different metals are not visible;</li> <li>➤ "Samples were collected during January to march from Ennore creek and Vellar estuary" – Year is to be mentioned, "M" in March is to be capitalized.</li> <li>➤ The subscript in the formulae of compounds are missing (e.g. HNO<sub>3</sub>) (often written as HNO3).</li> <li>➤ A space between the numerical value and the unit is to be provided.</li> <li>➤ C (Celsius) should be in caps.</li> <li>➤ Table 1 is needed to be constructed properly.....column boundaries are not aligned.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sanchayan Mukherjee</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kalyani Government Engineering College, India</b>