

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_106195
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis of Challenges International Students Face in pursuing doctoral study in the area of English language teaching
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The title does not reflect the clear tangible output that the researcher wants to produce as a product of learning. After analyzing their challenges, what's next?</p> <p>Change into: Challenges of international students in pursuing doctoral degree in the field of English language teaching</p> <p>The abstract is fine but it may be unified (not sectional) further to deliver the message clearly; not fragmented.</p> <p>The sections are complete but needs elucidation on the part of samples and sampling techniques, how the interview prompt questions were formulated stating the basis, etc.</p> <p>The manuscript has a lot of challenges especially when it comes to data presentation and analysis. How the themes were derived? There should be expert validators to check the emerging themes. How the data was saturated? How frequent and how long the qualitative data collection was carried out, etc.</p> <p>The references should include studies over the last 5 years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dennis G. Caballes
Department, University & Country	Graduate Program School of Teacher Education, The National Teachers College, Philippines