

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_105998
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economic Analysis and Resource use Efficiency of Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) Cultivation in Haryana
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for community, farmers and policy makers for related sector.</p> <p>The title of the article suitable. But it should be changed to cover about the research activities. <b>An Economic Analysis and Resource Use Efficiency of Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) and Its Competing Crops Cultivation in Haryana</b></p> <p>In the abstract, the results should be clearly mentioned (writing style). Verb should be consistency. Abbreviation should be mentioned full meaning.</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p><b>The manuscript is scientifically weak. The paper is not included the objectives and rationale of the study.</b></p> <p>Some data and information should be showed the sources.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>All numbers should write with separator (,).</p> <p>All <b>abbreviation should be mentioned full meaning in note or appropriate somewhere in the paper first.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The research paper should be <b>included the objectives of research</b> and the reason why the research should be done importantly.</p> <p>In the methodology, author showed up about the data collection for the sesame farmers and information about the sesame. Actually, there are two more crops compared to the sesame for economic analysis. Therefore, methodology chapter should be revised for all data collection and sampling...and so on.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Theingi Myint</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Yezin Agricultural University, Myanmar</b>