

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_104694
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Use of Medical Forensic Evidence in Investigation and Prosecution Process of Defilement Perpetrators, A Case Study of Ruiru Sub-County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the statistical analysis matches with respect to scientifically proven facts</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Subsections are appropriate, expect minor errors mentioned (attached herewith)</p> <p>Correct</p> <p>Minor additional changes attached</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Suggestions after reviewing:</p> <p>1. Introduction Elaborate the expression of reference [11]</p> <p>References [6],[7] are common.</p> <p>For instance, a study conducted in South Africa[6], reported more than 18 cases of child abuse being reported at cape town, whereby 34% of the reported cases were physical abuse,16% accounted for emotional abuse, and lastly, 20% accounted for sexual abuse. In another study conducted in Switzerland, one in every five children has experienced child abuse in the majority of African nations. In West and East Africa, child abuse is rampant and accounts for 33% of the cases[7].</p> <p>2. Table 1 has syntax errors and should be synchronised pointwise.</p> <p>3. Table 2 and table 3 clarity is to be formulated pointwise.</p> <p>4. Check the data analysis values of table.5</p> <p>5. Add more references in methodology.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>6. Attach Keywords/ abbreviations immediately after abstract introduction.</p> <p>7. (A Pearson correlation analysis was carried out where a p-value of ≤ 0.05 was considered significant) - Clearly elaborate the analysis by statistical data.</p> <p>8. Conclusion is missing.</p>	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Charu Khanna
Department, University & Country	Invertis University, India