

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106642
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Asian Elephant Habitat Preferences in the Central Western Ghats using GIS, Remote Sensing, and Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript examines the habitat preferences of Asian elephants in the Shakleshpur Taluk in the Karnataka district of Hassan with the Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP), using Remote Sensing and GIS techniques for setting the parameters needed for the AHP.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The contributions of this paper to theory and practice would appear to be interesting and useful in Environment and Climate Change studies focused on habitat preferences of animals, and I believe they coincide with the general scientific fields of interest of the Journal.</p> <p>Minor revision is suggested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The authors must revise and analyse the abbreviations used in the text. They should put the full name of abbreviations the first time they use them on the text. - in Allocation of AHP paragraph the three steps in creating an overall priority rating, are not described in detail; more information should be added. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aikaterini Stamou
Department, University & Country	School of Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece