

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106470
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Elevated Temperatures on Growth and Yield of Mustard Cultivars at Varied Crop Geometry
Type of the Article	Scientific article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community, as it showed the extent of resistance of mustard varieties with regard to aspects of growth and production under high temperature conditions, as these results can be used to enhance future research if there is an increase in future temperatures as a result of global warming</p> <p>2- the title of the article is a suitable.</p> <p>3- the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4- Yes, suitable</p> <p>5- The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6- The sources section lacks number, as I believe that more sources were provided and recorded, especially the materials and methods section, which was almost devoid of sources, as the sources of the approved method must be included, and if there is new additional work, this is considered a strength factor for this manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, suitable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The research idea and the method of work was very good, but I think that other detailed tables or even curves should be added that show the extent of the effect of the different temperatures applied on the vital effect of plant growth.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hawraa Yahya Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University, Iraq