

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106392
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PRE-SOWING TREATMENTS ON GERMINATION CHARACTERISTICS OF STRAWBERRY GUAVA (<i>Psidium cattleianum</i> Sabine)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is useful to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive appropriate.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript should remove the redundancy of presenting data in tables and graphs. If the author chooses to present the data in a graph format. The author must also include additional statistical comparisons of means in graph.</p> <p>5. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and not current. However, please follow template of Journal. All citations in the text must match in the list of references at the end of the manuscript. If it possible should be contains at least 5-7 recent references related to your research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>- The language/English of the article is suitable for academic communication.</p> <p>- Please check the grammatical errors and misspellings.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Please follow the manuscript template of Journal.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchuon Wanna
Department, University & Country	Maharakham University, Thailand