

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105895</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Revolutionizing Tomato Cultivation: Advancing Growth via Panchagavya: Enriched NFT Hydroponics in the Realm of Agricultural Innovation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Manuscript is definitely important for the scientists and extension workers as well as farming community. Research has already been conducted on hydroponics technology but Systemic research on panchagaya as nutrient solution in hydroponics is really lacking.</b>  <b>Appropriate</b>  <b>Nicely written but results of the present research are lacking.</b>  <b>Appropriate</b>  <b>Manuscript lacks the results of the present work i.e. growth of the plant at different interval etc. and comparison with control group.</b>  <b>Relevant and latest references have been incorporated.</b>  <b>Results of the present investigation are lacking.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Nicely written	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Results of the present investigation are lacking.</b>  Please incorporate the results of the investigation in a scientific manner.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Monika Joshi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>CVAS, RAJUVAS, India</b>