

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ IJECC_105648
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluate the effect of Integrated Nutrient Management (INM) on Economic and Physical attributes of Aonla (<i>Emblica officinalis Gaerten</i>).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. In the materials and methods section, it indicates 7.5 kg of poultry manure as treatments, however in the fruit yield (kg/tree) chapter it mentions the application of poultry manure (10kg/tree).</p> <p>“ Fruit Yield (kg/tree): Data presented in Table.1 clearly indicated that application of Poultry Manure (10kg/tree) + RDF 50% + Azospirillum (10ml./tree) + PSB (10ml./tree)”</p> <p>2. In the chapter on fruit yield (kg/tree), mention the following: “Fruit Yield (kg/tree): Data presented in Table.1 clearly indicated that application of Poultry Manure (10kg/tree) + RDF 50% + Azospirillum (10ml./tree) + PSB (10ml./tree) was found to be significantly best treatment and maximum fruit yield obtained 129.79 kg per tree followed by FYM (10kg/tree) +RDF 50% + Azospirillum (10ml./tree) + PSB (10ml./tree). Whereas, minimum fruit yield obtained 72.95 kg per tree in treatment (control). The present findings are in conformity with report of Amiri and Fallahi (2009), Bhabiskar et al. (2011), Aiyelaagbe et al. (2012) and Ghosh et al. (2012).”</p> <p>However, table 1 does not show the maximum fruit yield obtained 129.79 kg/tree. I believe that the wording should be improved. Yield data is recorded in terms of averages.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pablo Huerta Fernández
Department, University & Country	La Molina National Agrarian University, Perú