

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_106164
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical composition, Antibacterial and Antioxidant activities of extracts from dry leaves and ash-dry leaves of Luffa cylindrica (L.) Roem cultivated in Vietnam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript shows interesting data about extracts of Luffa cylindrica (L.) Roem. Most of the results are confirmatory of previous data available in the literature. However some novel findings related to the comparison of leaves extract and ash leaves extracts are presented.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but it is not clearly written.</p> <p>References are fine.</p> <p>Comments and suggestions are included in the text of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English of the manuscript should be revised.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The authors should make substantial modifications in order to make this manuscript suitable for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deborah J. Keszenman
Department, University & Country	CENUR Litoral Norte, Universidad de la Republica, Uruguay