

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_105901
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and FTIR analysis of Cd Doped Nano Ni - Aluminates
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																				
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>YES YES YES</p> <p>Manuscript has certain scientific errors which have been highlighted. Some of them are:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Incorrect</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Correct</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1. One</td> <td>1</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2. wander</td> <td>Vander</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3. aluminum</td> <td>aluminium</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. ml</td> <td>mL</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. No<sub>3</sub></td> <td>NO<sub>3</sub></td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Al(NO<sub>3</sub>)</td> <td>Al(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub></td> </tr> <tr> <td>7. 0.02</td> <td>0.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8. an example</td> <td>sample</td> </tr> <tr> <td>9. 3H<sub>2</sub>O</td> <td>3H<sub>2</sub>O</td> </tr> </table> <p>Some latest references may be included</p>	Incorrect	Correct	1. One	1	2. wander	Vander	3. aluminum	aluminium	4. ml	mL	5. No <sub>3</sub>	NO <sub>3</sub>	6. Al(NO <sub>3</sub> )	Al(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	7. 0.02	0.2	8. an example	sample	9. 3H <sub>2</sub> O	3H <sub>2</sub> O	
Incorrect	Correct																					
1. One	1																					
2. wander	Vander																					
3. aluminum	aluminium																					
4. ml	mL																					
5. No <sub>3</sub>	NO <sub>3</sub>																					
6. Al(NO <sub>3</sub> )	Al(NO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>																					
7. 0.02	0.2																					
8. an example	sample																					
9. 3H <sub>2</sub> O	3H <sub>2</sub> O																					
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English quality is good except some grammatical mistakes</p>																					
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Manuscript is suitable for publication after above corrections</p>																					

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>R. K. Upadhyay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>