

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCRS_105339 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Malignancy in a Testis of a Bilateral Cryptorchid Man Revealed by a Large Intra-abdominal Mass – A case report |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes</p> <p>No, I think it is too long. Instead of "Malignancy in a Testis of a Bilateral Cryptorchid Man Revealed by a Large Intra-abdominal Mass – A case report", it could be, for example "Testis tumor presented as an intra-abdominal mass – A case report".</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>No. The language was terrible. It must be deeply revised.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Overall, the article was OK. The case was well reported, the sections were we clear and the text was comprehensible. The mainly problem, in my opinion, is the grammar. The language was totally incorreccted and must be all revised.</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Josie Naomi Iyeyasu |
| Department, University & Country | State University of Campinas, Brazil |