

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_105964
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PERSISTENT INCREASE IN THE PRICE OF GOODS IN ENUGU STATE: POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS OF BUSINESS EDUCATION PERSPECTIVE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. In the Scientific Community, this manuscript can increase the awareness of students and those who are engaging in business sector. This Manuscript will also add information to other researchers that is on the field of business.</p> <p>2. The title is too long. May I suggest "PERSISTENT PRICE INCREASE OF GOODS IN ENUGU STATE: POSTGRADUATE STUDENTS OF BUSINESS EDUCATION PERSPECTIVE"</p> <p>3. Abstract may be limit only 250 words. On the discussion of reliability result, the author may discuss the overall only.</p> <p>4. The Subsections of this manuscript are organized and explained thoroughly.</p> <p>5. The Manuscript is scientifically correct. The manuscript uses appropriate tools in dealing with the results.</p> <p>6. The author may use not later than 10 years of articles/books/journals,etc. Also may the Author refrain from using references that has no dates(nd).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rey James B. Roxas
Department, University & Country	College of Management, Northwest Samar State University, Philippines