

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_105186
Title of the Manuscript:	Media Programmes on Domestic Violence against Men (DVAM) in Owerri Metropolis:AKAP analysis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the study is significant because it presented a new dimension of violence. Generally, studies must focus on violence against women.</p> <p>It would be better to limit the title within 12 words as per the standard with full form KAP??? (Knowledge Attitude Practice) Domestic Violence Against Men in Owerri Metropolis: A Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice</p> <p>Abstract should be within the word limit of 150 including the problem, objective, methods, major findings, and conclusions. Also, there should be maximum 5 key relevant words.</p> <p>Manuscript should be restructured with abstract, introduction, methods, results with discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>Recent references are cited.</p> <p>The article should be in maximum 5000-to-6000-word limits.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language should be checked. There are minor mistakes like word spacing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>No need of the sections like problem statement, literature review, research questions, theoretical frameworks. These are covered in introduction and method sections briefly.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santosh Kumar Mahato
Department, University & Country	Tribhuban University, Nepal