

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JSRR_106471</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Novel records of testate amoebae (Amoebozoa and Cercozoa) from Shenthuriney WLS, Kerala</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Overall, this study enlists 28 species of TA from Shenthuriney WLS; however, it lacks any details about how these species were identified, neither it provides any information about the taxonomic hierarchy of the reported taxa. Further, the manuscript has not been drafted per the journal guidelines. In addition, carefully check the manuscript for language and grammar; there are many grammatical and spelling errors in the manuscript. This manuscript at the best could be considered as a short communication.</p> <p>The study provides the novel records of testate amoebae from Shenthuriney WLS, Kerala; therefore, is important in terms of faunal diversity of this WLS.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract lacks the details about the methodology and is not according to the journal format.</p> <p>The manuscript structure is fine.</p> <p>Although the manuscript provides a list of TA species, details about the morphological characterization should be included. Please check the main file for more details.</p> <p>I would suggest to cite a few more studies on species reported from the same geographic area for better comprehension.</p> <p>Please check section-wise detailed comments in the main manuscript file.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The manuscript needs a thorough language revision.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I have added comments in the main file regarding various aspects that could be beneficial for this manuscript.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khushboo Rana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Panjab University, India</b>