

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_102631
Title of the Manuscript:	Experimenting with Domestic Sodium bi-carbonates for Ceramic Glazing and Decoration.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljmsrr.com/index.php/JMSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important has it gives the information of the how the ceramic glazing can be achieved by varying the forms of bicarbonates</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract can be elaborated</p> <p>No it has to be improved</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References has to follow a standard</p> <p>Write the full forms first & then their abbreviations</p> <p>Use the appropriate number for the equations given</p> <p>Ceramics in Nigeria is mostly studied as an art and most successful potters excel in practice based on experience acquired through the years Justify this statement with reference?</p> <p>Research question & aim can be removed from the section 1.1</p> <p>Cost part of the product can be removed</p> <p>NaHCO₃ has to be written has NaHCO₃ change accordingly where ever required.</p> <p>How was the temperature maintained?</p> <p>Use the figure number instead of plate & all.</p> <p>Write the description of the figure before adding it</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English can be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Conclusion to be more precise on the experiment conducted & the results obtained rather than writing the whole theory</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prathik S Jain
Department, University & Country	Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, India