

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_101273
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE COST AND DESIGN OF SOLID, RIBBED AND WAFFLE SLAB FOR A THREE-STOREY SHOPPING COMPLEX USING PROCTA, ORION AND SPREADSHEET
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>A) Abstract: Kindly conclude your abstract in the last sentences</p> <p>B) Introduction: The introduction states clearly the objective of the paper and provides an adequate background of the study.</p> <p>C) Methodology: Sufficient and clear</p> <p>D) Results and Discussion: Sufficient and clear</p> <p>E) Conclusions: Conclusions are sufficient</p> <p>F) References: - Most of the references are out of date. Kindly update the references. - Kindly check the format of the references</p> <p>G) Tables and Figures: Clear and Sufficient</p> <p>H) Grammar and Language: There is a major grammatical error in the paper. The author can use a software like Grammarly to help improve the quality of the write-up.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The language quality of the article is moderately suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Kindly update the references as the majority of your references are out of date	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Syamsul Imran Zaini
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia