

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_106199
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BAP ON SUCKER PRODUCTION OF POST-HARVEST BANANA CORMS OF THREE DIFFERENT GENOMIC GROUPS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The research work is important for scientific community 2. Title may be changed. Suggested in corrected manuscript. 3. Corrections given in manuscript. 4. Appropriate 5. There is no mention regarding statistical analysis in the document. Only mean value is given in the table without CD, CV or SEM. If these values are added and compared statistically then only results are valid and comparable between treatments. 6. Recent literature on the study are missing. There are so many and easily available to enlist here. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Language correction required for publication 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Results should be on statistical analysis. Discussion to be rewritten with recent literature on macropagation. Materials and methods need clarification especially on how they arrived at observation on total suckers. Sentences should be written in the past and in many places sentence construction need to be corrected.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manju P R
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, Kerala Agricultural University, India