

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_105726
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis Based on Benefit Cost Ratio approach for Rice crop in combination with the bio-inoculants and chemical fertilizers.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>After going through the manuscript these are the outline. Answer 1 Yes the manuscript is satisfactory. Answer 2 Title is fine no further improvements are required Answer 3 In abstract NRD- 2065 is a rice variety, is not clear in the beginning. Answer 4 Sub section are appropriate. Answer 5 Yes. Answer 6 Yes references are well enough.</p> <p>Minor comment Kindly look for typographical mistake.</p> <p>General comments 1) Only one year data is presented in the study, minimum two year data is required to justify your study. 2) Test weight is not taken as it is an important parameters for the validation of the study. 3) proper calculation for economic analysis is not presented in tabular format.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neelam Pankaj
Department, University & Country	G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, India