

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97689
Title of the Manuscript:	Micro-plastics in aquatic environment: Source, fate, emerging threats, and regulatory effort
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes it is, because it deals with a topical issue about the contamination of water sources with micro plastics, a problem that grows day by day.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article seems appropriate to me</p> <p>The summary describes all the aspects related to the presence of micro plastics in the aqueous environment and concludes with the development of policies aimed at mitigating this environmental problem.</p> <p>Yes, I consider that the subsections and the structure presented in the manuscript are appropriate and complete.</p> <p>Yes, I believe that the manuscript is scientifically correct because in a few pages it develops the issue of water contamination with micro plastics in an orderly, synthetic and complete way.</p> <p>Yes, the number of references seems sufficient and topical to me for a review on the contamination of water with micro-plastics.</p> <p>I congratulate the authors of the article because in very few pages of the manuscript they present us with a very complete review on the subject of: Micro-plastics in aquatic environment: Source, fate, emerging threats, and regulatory effort</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the quality of the English language that is handled in the article I consider to be adequate for academic communications.</p> <p>I only found some spelling errors that I would like to be corrected, such as: tonnes by tons virgins plastic by virgin plastic</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Personally, I think it is more convenient that the bibliographic citations are ordered alphabetically and that is how they are mentioned in the bibliography, since it is confusing to see that the numbering is not progressive.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>José Luis Montañez Soto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Instituto Politecnico Nacional, Centro Interdisciplinario de Investigación para el Desarrollo Integral Regional, México</b>