

1
2 **Effect of foliar feeding of plant growth**
3 **regulators and nutrients on leaf nutrient status**
4 **of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Gwalior-27**
5
6
7
8
9

10 **ABSTRACT**
11

Abstract: The investigation entitled “Effect of foliar feeding of plant growth regulators and nutrients on leaf nutrient status of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Gwalior-27” was carried out in the Fruit Orchard, Department of Horticulture, R.V.S.K.V.V, CoA, Gwalior district of Madhya Pradesh during mrig-bahar of 2021-22. The field experiment was laid under FRBD (Factorial randomized block design) which contain 20 treatments and were replicated thrice. The result indicated that the leaf nitrogen content (%) as well as leaf calcium content (%) was affected significantly. The highest N content (2.74%) and leaf calcium content (0.75%) were found with M₃ (Ca(NO₃)₂ 2%). However, the plant growth regulators and nutrient spray individually and their interactions both had non-significant effect on both leaf P as well as K content. Maximum leaf boron content (90.67 ppm) and leaf zinc content (60.58 ppm) was obtained with M₁ (Borax 0.4%) and M₂ (ZnSO₄ 0.5%) respectively. Therefore, based on the experimental findings it can be concluded that foliar feeding of PGR's and nutrients was an effective way for enhancing the leaf nutrient status of guava. The effect of nutrients was found to be effective in maximising the leaf N content, leaf boron content, leaf zinc content and leaf calcium content significantly. Although, foliar feeding of various concentrations of PGR's and nutrients independently as well as their interaction effect did not impact any change in the leaf P and K content of the leaf.

12
13 *Keywords: PGR's, nutrients, foliar feeding, plant nutrient status, FRBD*
14
15
16

17 **INTRODUCTION**

18 Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) the “apple of the tropics” and being the member of the family
19 Myrtaceae. It is considered as a “magical” fruit because of its array of nutritive value and
20 medicinal uses. It exceeds most of the other fruits in productivity which makes it profoundly
21 remunerative. The fruit is composed of minerals like calcium, iron and phosphorus and
22 vitamins A, B₁, B₂ and C. Due to its broader adaptability in diverse soils and agro-climatic
23 zones, economical, prolific bearing and being highly remunerative with nutritive values, it has
24 attained more popularity among the fruit growers (Das *et al.*, 1995).

25 In India, it was introduced during the early 17th century by Portuguese and gradually became
26 a crop of commercial significance all over the country. India ranks first in production of guava
27 which comprises about 45.22% of the world production. The total area, production and
28 productivity of guava in India is about 308 thousand ha with 45,82,000 million tonnes
29 production and 23.7 mt/ha productivity respectively (Anonymous, 2021).

30 Among different factors, which affect the production and productivity of guava, nutrient
31 assumes great significance. Management of nutrients in guava refers to sustaining the soil
32 fertility and leaf nutrient supply to an ideal level for sustaining the desired fruit quality. Guava
33 is reported to develop characteristic deficiency symptoms of various macro and
34 micronutrients. Insufficiency of either of these nutrients at critical stage of fruit development,
35 significantly hinder the physiological process of plant thus reduce the productivity and quality
36 of produce and making the plant vulnerable to a number of biotic and abiotic stresses.
37 Micronutrients help in the uptake of major nutrients and play an active role in the plant
38 metabolism begins with cell wall development to respiration, photosynthesis, chlorophyll
39 accumulation, enzyme activity hormone synthesis, nitrogen fixation and reduction (Das
40 2003). The positive effect of zinc application has been well validated (Chhonkar and Singh)
41 1981 in guava. It is a necessary micronutrient involved in enzymatic systems essential for
42 protein synthesis, seed production and maturity rate in plants (Swietlik, 1999 and 2002). It
43 also plays an important role in starch metabolism in plants (Alloway, 2008). It is well known
44 that Zn acts as a co-factor of many enzymes and influences many biological processes such
45 as photosynthesis, nucleic acids metabolism, and biosynthesis of proteins and
46 carbohydrates (Marschner, 1995). It is also, induces pollen tube growth resulted from its role
47 on tryptophan synthesis as an auxin precursor biosynthesis (Hassan *et al.*, 2010). Singh *et al.*,
48 (1983) obtained that boric acid has good effect on physico-chemical constitution of
49 guava. The scarcity of boron, second to zinc deficiency, has imparted a major significance to
50 boron amendment. An adequate boron amendment ensures not only ample fruit set, but
51 optimum fruit yield with superior quality in terms of ratio between total soluble solids and
52 acidity (Srivastava and Singh) 2005. Fruit calcium is an important factor ascertaining quality.
53 Calcium as a constituent of the cell wall, plays a vital role in forming cross-bridges, which
54 influence cell wall potency and considered as the last barrier before cell separation. The
55 association of calcium in the regulation of fruit development and ripening processes is also
56 well established.

57 Recent advances in the field of nutrition of various fruit crops have confirmed that leaf
58 nutrient analysis is a laudable tool for detecting deficiencies and toxicity of various essential
59 elements and represents an important tool for determining future fertilization requirements
60 (Korkmaz and Askin) 2015. Information regarding nutritional aspect of guava is very limited
61 and less studies has been conducted to find out the effect of leaf nutrients of guava on
62 growth, yield and quality parameters of guava. Therefore, it has become imperative to find
63 out influence nutrients on leaf nutrient status of guava.

64

65 **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

66

67 The experiment was carried out in the Fruit Orchard, Department of Horticulture,
68 R.V.S.K.V.V, CoA, Gwalior district of Madhya Pradesh during mrig-bahar of 2021-22 on
69 twenty-seven years old guava trees cv. Gwalior-27 planted at 6 x 6 m distance and trees
70 were maintained under uniform cultural schedule. The experimental was laid out in FRBD
71 (Factorial randomized block design) comprising 20 treatment combinations and were
72 replicated thrice. There were two factors, first is plant growth regulators contains 5 level and
73 second is nutrient which contains 4 levels. The plants were sprayed with different
74 concentrations of plant growth regulators (propyl gallate 200 & 300 ppm and gibberellic acid
75 50 & 100 ppm) and nutrients (Borax 0.4%, ZnSO₄ 0.5% and Ca(NO₃)₂ 2%) and control.
76 Treatments were given thrice i.e., first, before bud initiation, second, at fruit setting stage and
77 third after pre harvest stage. The following treatment combinations have been used
78 presented in Table1 and Table 2. The details of the treatments are as follows:

79

Table 1: Factor-A: PGR's

Notation	PGR's	Dose	80
P ₀	Control	Water spray	81 82
P ₁	Propyl gallate	200 ppm	83
P ₂	Propyl gallate	300 ppm	84
P ₃	Gibberellic acid	50 ppm	85
P ₄	Gibberellic acid	100 ppm	86
			87

88

Notation	Nutrient	Dose	89 90
M ₀	Control	Water spray	91
M ₁	Borax	0.4 %	92
M ₂	ZnSO ₄	0.5 %	93
M ₃	Ca(NO ₃) ₂	2 %	94

Table 2: Factor-B: Nutrients

95

96 Leaf nutrient status

97 The leaf samples were collected before harvesting and gently washed and then rinsed in
 98 0.1N HCl and distilled water instantly after leaf sampling, dried in oven at 70°C, dried
 99 samples were grind in an electric grinder. These samples were used for the analysis of NPK
 100 and nutrients status of leaves.

101 Estimation of Nitrogen

102 Total nitrogen was estimated by the "Kjeldahal Distillation" method. Two hundred gram of
 103 grind material of leaves was taken in "micro-Kjeldahal tube" in which 10-15 ml of conc.
 104 H₂SO₄ was added. Further 2g of digestion activator (Salt mixture copper sulphate+
 105 potassium sulphate) to the sample were added. The tubes were kept in digestion unit for
 106 digestion. After digestion, the material was taken for distillation and after distillation, distillate
 107 ammonia-metaborate was titrated against 0.4N H₂SO₄ (AOAC 1970).

108

109 Estimation of phosphorus, potassium and micronutrients:

110 One gram oven dried plant sample was taken and digested in 100 ml conical flask with 10 ml
 111 of di-acid mixture (2:5) consisting of chemically pure concentrated perchloric acid and nitric
 112 acid respectively and digested material was filtered through Whatman No. 40 filter paper in

113 100 ml. volumetric flask and filtrate was diluted to mark. This was used for estimation of P, K
114 and micronutrients.

115 ***Phosphorus estimation:***

116 Ten ml of aliquot from the colorless filtrate was taken in 25 ml, volumetric flask for
117 determination and then 5 ml of ammonium molybdate vanadate mixture was added to it and
118 volume was made up to 25 ml. after shaking well. It was kept for 30 minutes and color
119 intensity was measured in Spectrophotometer 20 at 470 nm wave length, after setting the
120 instrument to zero with blank as described by Jackson (1973).

121 ***Potassium estimation:***

122 Ten ml aliquot of the filtrate was taken in 100 ml volumetric flask and it was diluted to mark
123 with distilled water. The potassium content in extract was estimated by flame photometer.

124 ***Estimation of zinc***

125 Extract prepared in preceding Para was used for the estimation of zinc (mg kg^{-1}) and the
126 reading was taken on the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer as described by Lindsay
127 and Norwell (1978) and micronutrient concentrations was calculated and expressed in ppm.

128 ***Estimation of boron***

129 The plant sample (0.5 g) was taken in porcelain/platinum dishes. Ca(OH)_2 0.5 g was added
130 to the sample and was ignited in the muffle furnace at 550 °C for 4 hours. White grey ash
131 obtained which was cooled with a little distilled water and then added 5ml 0.1 N HCl. The
132 content was transferred to 25 ml volumetric flask and made up to 25 ml with distilled water.
133 For analysis of boron, 1 ml aliquot was taken and estimated by spectrophotometer and
134 micronutrient concentrations was calculated and expressed in ppm.

135 ***Estimation of calcium***

136 Calcium content was estimated by feeding the digested sample into a standard atomic
137 absorption spectroscopy meter having appropriate hallow cathode lamps and values were
138 plotted on graph and micronutrient concentrations was calculated and expressed in ppm
139 respectively.

140 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

141

142 **Nitrogen content (%)**

143 Analysis of guava leaf samples showed that application of nutrients significantly
144 increased the nitrogen content of leaves over control as evident in Table 3. and
145 Table 4. Nevertheless, foliar feeding of M_3 ($\text{Ca(NO}_3)_2$ 2%) recorded the maximum
146 leaf N content (2.74%) while, the minimum leaf N content (2.19%) was recorded
147 with control (M_0), but the factor A (plant growth regulators) and their interaction with
148 factor B (nutrients) was found non-significant. The results are found similar with the
149 earlier findings of (singh *et al.* 2017). They have reported an increase in leaf
150 nitrogen concentration with increased concentration of Nitrogen, which might be due
151 to the intake of good amount of nitrogen by the leaves. These observations are also
152 in line with previous result in guava (Sharma and Bhattacharya 1989).

153 **Phosphorus content (%)**

154 The information in Tables 5 and 6 made it abundantly evident that foliar feeding of
155 PGRs and nutrients and their interaction effect had been shown to be statistically
156 insignificant.

157 **Potassium content (%)**

158 The data presented in Table 5 and Table 6 clearly indicated that foliar feeding of
159 PGR's and nutrients and their interaction effect had no statistically significant
160 influence on leaf K content.

161 **Boron content (ppm)**

162 The findings in Tables 9 and 10 clearly indicated that leaf boron content increased
163 considerably significantly with the increase in boron concentration during
164 investigation but the effect of factor A (plant growth regulators) individually and their
165 interaction with factor B (nutrients) was not statistically significant. However,
166 maximum boron content (90.67ppm) was seen under M₁ (Borax 0.4%), whereas the
167 minimal (67.30ppm) was observed under M₀ (control). It is might be due to the
168 increased level of biomass production or the dilution effect, balances out the
169 element's concentration. These findings concur with those made earlier by (Dalal *et al.*
170 *2011*). Also, (Shukla 1983) stated a synergistic relationship between zinc and
171 boron content and noted an increment in the zinc content followed by an increase in
172 boron content. Similar findings were also reported by (Rajkumar *et al.* 2017) who
173 indicated that the doses of boric acid were found most effective to enhance the leaf
174 B status of guava leaves influenced by the external application of borax.

175 **Zinc content (ppm)**

176 The data showed in Tables 11 and 12 clearly indicated that leaf zinc content
177 increased significantly after the foliar feeding of various concentrations of nutrients
178 but the effect of factor A (plant growth regulators) individually and their interaction
179 with factor B (nutrients) was not statistically significant. It was also observed that the
180 maximum leaf zinc content (60.58ppm) was obtained with M₂ (ZnSO₄ 0.5%) while
181 minimum leaf zinc content (41.58 ppm) was recorded in treatment M₀ (control).
182 Higher content of zinc in leaf was reported with the application of zinc as observed
183 earlier by various workers (Kanwar and Dhingra, 1962; Smith, 1967; Manchanda *et al.*,
184 1971; Nijjar and Brar, 1977; Dalal *et al.*, 2011; Rajkumar *et al.*, 2017; Sua *et al.*,
185 2018; Vikas *et al* 2020).

186

187

188 **Calcium content (%)**

189 The data presented in Tables 13 and 14 clearly revealed that the maximum calcium
190 content (0.75%) was recorded in M₃ (Ca(NO₃)₂ 2%) whereas, minimum calcium

191 (0.57%) was recorded in M₃ (control), but the effect of factor A (plant growth
 192 regulators) individually and their interaction with factor B (nutrients) was found non-
 193 significant. The increase in leaf calcium concentration with rose in the concentration
 194 of calcium was earlier reported in guava (Singh *et al.*, 2017). The above finding was
 195 in agreement with results that there is synergistic relationship found between
 196 calcium and boron content and revealed that an increment in the calcium content
 197 enhances the boron as well as calcium concentration (Shukla 1983). Hence, spray
 198 of calcium and boron alone or in combination are necessary to maintain the
 199 optimum calcium content in leaves of guava.

200 **Table 3. Effect of foliar feeding PGR's and nutrients on Nitrogen content (%) of**
 201 **guava (*Psidium guajava L.*) cv. Gwalior-27**

PGR's		Nitrogen content (%)
P ₀	Control	2.33
P ₁	Propyl gallate 200ppm	2.37
P ₂	Propyl gallate 300ppm	2.40
P ₃	Gibberellic acid 50ppm	2.43
P ₄	Gibberellic acid 100ppm	2.46
	SE(m) ±	0.066
	CD (5%)	NS
(B) Micronutrients		
M ₀	Control	2.19
M ₁	Borax 0.4%	2.25
M ₂	ZnSO ₄ 0.5%	2.59
M ₃	Ca(NO ₃) ₂ 2%	2.74
	SE(m) ±	0.061
	CD (5%)	0.173

202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216

Table 4 Interaction effect (A X B) of PGR's and nutrients on Nitrogen content (%) of guava during 1st year, 2nd year and pooled

Nitrogen content (%)

Micronutrients	PGR's				
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	2.07	2.12	2.10	2.29	2.38
M ₁	2.20	2.23	2.17	2.31	2.35
M ₂	2.55	2.50	2.46	2.54	2.58
M ₃	2.72	2.61	2.60	2.73	2.72
SE(M) ±	0.132				
CD (5%)	NS				

217
218
219
220

Table 5 Effect of foliar feeding PGR's and nutrients on Phosphorus content (%) of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Gwalior-27

(A) PGR's		Phosphorus content (%)
P ₀	Control	0.172
P ₁	Propyl gallate 200ppm	0.174
P ₂	Propyl gallate 300ppm	0.178
P ₃	Gibberellic acid 50ppm	0.176
P ₄	Gibberellic acid 100ppm	0.180
	SE(m) ±	0.006
	CD (5%)	NS
(B) Micronutrients		
M ₀	Control	0.168
M ₁	Borax 0.4%	0.186
M ₂	ZnSO ₄ 0.5%	0.170
M ₃	Ca(NO ₃) ₂ 2%	0.170
	SE(m) ±	0.006
	CD (5%)	NS

221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233

Table 6 Interaction effect (A X B) of PGR's and nutrients on Phosphorus content (%) of guava during 1st year, 2nd year and pooled

Phosphorus content (%)	
PGR's	

Micronutrients	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	0.163	0.167	0.164	0.170	0.174
M ₁	0.187	0.183	0.181	0.184	0.180
M ₂	0.178	0.177	0.175	0.179	0.173
M ₃	0.169	0.169	0.169	0.172	0.173
SE(M) ±	0.010				
CD (5%)	NS				

234

235 **Table.7. Effect of foliar feeding PGR's and nutrients on Potassium content (%)**
 236 **of guava (*Psidium guajava L.*) cv. Gwalior-27**

237

(A) PGR's		Potassium content (%)
P ₀	Control	1.63
P ₁	Propyl gallate 200ppm	1.64
P ₂	Propyl gallate 300ppm	1.70
P ₃	Gibberellic acid 50ppm	1.71
P ₄	Gibberellic acid 100ppm	1.75
	SE(m) ±	0.034
	CD (5%)	NS
(B) Micronutrients		
M ₀	Control	1.63
M ₁	Borax 0.4%	1.74
M ₂	ZnSO ₄ 0.5%	1.71
M ₃	Ca(NO ₃) ₂ 2%	1.65
	SE(m) ±	0.030
	CD (5%)	NS

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245 **Table 8 Interaction effect (A X B) of PGR's and nutrients on Potassium content**
 246 **(%) of guava during 1st year, 2nd year and pooled**

Potassium content (%)
PGR's

Micronutrients	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	1.57	1.56	1.60	1.69	1.75
M ₁	1.74	1.68	1.68	1.78	1.71
M ₂	1.71	1.67	1.63	1.70	1.71
M ₃	1.64	1.64	1.62	1.68	1.68
SE(M) ±	0.066				
CD (5%)	NS				

247

248 **Table 9 Effect of foliar feeding PGR's and nutrients on Boron content (PPM) of**
 249 **guava (*Psidium guajava L.*) cv. Gwalior-27**

(A) PGR's	Boron content (ppm)
P ₀ Control	73.24
P ₁ Propyl gallate 200ppm	75.14
P ₂ Propyl gallate 300ppm	74.03
P ₃ Gibberellic acid 50ppm	77.73
P ₄ Gibberellic acid 100ppm	78.59
SE(m) ±	1.723
CD (5%)	NS
(B) Micronutrients	
M ₀ Control	67.30
M ₁ Borax 0.4%	90.67
M ₂ ZnSO ₄ 0.5%	79.89
M ₃ Ca(NO ₃) ₂ 2%	68.12
SE(m) ±	1.541
CD (5%)	4.412

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

Table. 10 Interaction effect (A X B) of PGR's and nutrients on Boron content (ppm) of guava during 1st year, 2nd year and pooled

Boron content (ppm)
PGR's

Micronutrients	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	59.45	63.52	64.98	73.60	74.97
M ₁	90.32	86.07	87.11	88.01	89.82
M ₂	80.68	77.08	77.94	79.78	78.96
M ₃	68.11	66.28	66.10	69.52	70.60
SE(M) ±	3.445				
CD (5%)	NS				

263

264 **Table. 11 Effect of foliar feeding PGR's and nutrients on Zinc content (ppm) of**
 265 **guava (*Psidium guajava L.*) cv. Gwalior-27**

266

(A) PGR's		Zinc content (ppm)
P ₀	Control	47.37
P ₁	Propyl gallate 200ppm	48.43
P ₂	Propyl gallate 300ppm	47.53
P ₃	Gibberellic acid 50ppm	49.73
P ₄	Gibberellic acid 100ppm	49.62
	SE(m) ±	1.023
	CD (5%)	NS
(B) Micronutrients		
M ₀	Control	41.58
M ₁	Borax 0.4%	50.63
M ₂	ZnSO ₄ 0.5%	60.58
M ₃	Ca(NO ₃) ₂ 2%	42.35
	SE(m) ±	0.915
	CD (5%)	2.619

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

Table. 12 Interaction effect (A X B) of PGR's and nutrients on Zinc content (ppm) of guava during 1st year, 2nd year and pooled

Zinc content (ppm)
PGR's

Micronutrients	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	37.90	39.19	39.90	46.25	44.66
M ₁	51.98	50.00	49.48	50.55	51.12
M ₂	60.32	59.30	58.76	59.44	60.10
M ₃	43.52	40.97	41.98	42.67	42.60
SE(M) ±	2.046				
CD (5%)	NS				

281
282
283
284

Table. 13 Effect of foliar feeding PGR's and nutrients on Calcium content (%) of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Gwalior-27

(A) PGR's		Calcium content (%)
P ₀	Control	0.588
P ₁	Propyl gallate 200ppm	0.655
P ₂	Propyl gallate 300ppm	0.650
P ₃	Gibberellic acid 50ppm	0.683
P ₄	Gibberellic acid 100ppm	0.658
	SE(m) ±	0.015
	CD (5%)	NS
(B) Micronutrients		
	M ₀ Control	0.574
	M ₁ Borax 0.4%	0.632
	M ₂ ZnSO ₄ 0.5%	0.670
	M ₃ Ca(NO ₃) ₂ 2%	0.750
	SE(m) ±	0.013
	CD (5%)	0.037

285
286
287

Table. 14 Interaction effect (A X B) of PGR's and nutrients on Calcium content (%) of guava during 1st year, 2nd year and pooled

Calcium content (%)

Micronutrients	PGR's				
	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄
M ₀	0.550	0.570	0.580	0.600	0.570
M ₁	0.640	0.640	0.630	0.640	0.610
M ₂	0.680	0.660	0.670	0.680	0.660
M ₃	0.750	0.750	0.720	0.710	0.710
SE(M) ±	0.027				
CD (5%)	NS				

290

291 CONCLUSION

292 Foliar feeding of PGR's and nutrients given thrice, first, before bud initiation, second, at fruit
 293 setting stage and third after pre harvest stage was an effective way for improvement of leaf
 294 nutrient status of guava. The treatment of nutrients was found to be effective in maximising
 295 the leaf N content, leaf boron content, leaf zinc content and leaf calcium content significantly.
 296 Although, foliar feeding of various concentrations of PGR's and nutrients individually as well
 297 as their interaction effect was found statistically non-significant.

298 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

299 The authors are thankful to Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, RVSKVV,
 300 Gwalior, for providing support and necessary facilities to carry out the experiment.

301 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

302

303 This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved
 304 the final manuscript.

305

306 REFERENCES

307

308 AOAC (1970). Official methods of analysis. Association of official agricultural
 309 chemists. 11th Ed., Washington, D.C., USA

310 Alloway, B. J. 2008. Zinc in Soils and Crop Nutrition. Brussels: *The International*
 311 *Zinc Association*.

312 Anonymous, (2021). Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board,
 313 Govt., of India.

314 Das, B.C., Chakraborty, A., Chakraborty, P.K., Maiti, A., Mandal, S., Ghosh, S.
 315 (1995). Comparative performance of guava cultivars under red and laterite soils of
 316 West Bengal. *Horticultural Journal*, **8**:141-46.

317 Chhonkar, V.S. and Singh, P.N. (1981). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potash
 318 as foliar spray on growth, flowering and fruiting of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.).
 319 *Punjab horticultural journal*, 23 (1/2): 34-37.

320 Das, D.K. (2003). Micronutrients: Their behaviours in soils and plants. *Kalyani*
 321 *publication, Ludhiana* pp. 1-2

- 322 Dalal, R. P. S., Navjot, A. T., & Brar, J. S. (2011). Effect of foliar application of
323 nutrients on leaf mineral composition and yield of Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.)
324 under arid conditions. *Annals of Arid Zone*, **50**(1), 53-56.
- 325 Hassan, H.S.A., Sarrwy, S.M.A. and Mostafa, E.A.M. (2010). Effect of foliar spraying
326 with liquid organic fertilizer, some micronutrients and gibberellins on leaf minerals
327 content, fruit set, yield, and fruit quality of "Hollywood" plum trees. *Agriculture and
328 Biology Journal of North America*, **1**: 638-643.
- 329 Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis. Asia Publishing House, New Delhi.
- 330 Kanwar, J.S. and Dhingra, D.R. (1962). Effect of micronutrient sprays on the
331 chemical composition of citrus leaves and incidence of chlorosis. *Indian Journal of
332 Agricultural Sciences*, **32**: 309-14.
- 333 Kumar, A. (2017). Effect of boron and zinc application on nutrient uptake in guava
334 (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Pant Prabhat leaves. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*, **6**(6),
335 1991-2002.
- 336 Lindsay, W.L. and Norvell, W.A. (1978). Development of DTPA soil test for zinc,
337 iron, manganese and copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **42**: 421-28
- 338 Manchanda, H.R., Randhawa, N.S. and Shukla, U.C. (1971). Effect of foliar
339 application of different micro-nutrients in relation to sources of nitrogen on chemical
340 composition of sweet orange levels (*Citrus sinensis* Osbeck) var. Blood Red. *Indian
341 Journal of Horticulture*, **28**: 100-107
- 342 Marschner, H. C. (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. London: Academic
343 Press.
- 344 Nijjar, G.S. and Brar, S.S. (1977). Comparison of soil and foliar applied zinc in
345 Kinnow (mandarin). *Indian Journal of Horticulture*, **34**(2):130-36.
- 346 Sharma, R., Bhattacharya, R. K., (1989). Effect of foliar nutrition of zinc on the
347 nutrient concentration of guava leaves. *South Indian Horticulture* **37**(6): 323-325.
- 348 Shukla, M.P. (1983). Sulphur, zinc and boron nutrition of Rai (*Brassica juncea*).
349 *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, **31**: 517-520.
- 350 Singh, P. N., Chhonkar, V. S. (1983). Effect of zinc, boron and molybdenum as foliar
351 spray on chemical composition of guava fruit. *Punjab Horticulture Journal*.**23**(1-2):
352 34–37.
- 353 Singh, R.R., Joon, M.S. and Daulta, B.S. (1983). A note on the effects of foliar spray
354 of urea and boric acid on physico-chemical composition of guava fruits cv. Lucknow-
355 49. *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences*, **12** (1-2): 68-70
- 356 Singh, N., Kumar, A., Rani, A., & Misra, K. K. (2017). Response of foliar application
357 of calcium chloride and boric acid on fruit quality and leaf nutrient status of guava.
358 *Journal of Hill Agriculture*, **8**(4), 406-409.

- 359 Smith, P.F. (1967). Leaf analysis of citrus. In: Fruit nutrition Chap. 8, N.F. Childers
360 Ed. Somerset Press, Somerville, New Jersey, pp. 207-228.
- 361 Srivastava, A.K. and Singh, S. (2005). Boron nutrition in citrus-current status and
362 future strategies – review. *Agricultural Reviews*, **26**(3): 173-186.
- 363 Sau, S., Sarkar, S., Ghosh, B., Ray, K., Deb, P., & Ghosh, D. (2018). Effect of foliar
364 application of B, Zn and Cu on yield, quality and economics of rainy season guava
365 cultivation. *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, **28**(1), 1-10.
- 366 Swietlik, D. (1999). Zinc nutrition in horticultural crops. In: Horticultural Reviews, ed.
367 J. Janick, pp. 109–118. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- 368 Swietlik, D. (2002). Zinc nutrition of fruit trees by foliar sprays. *Acta Horticulturae* **93**:
369 123–129.
370
371