

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_106154
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SELECTED HEAVY METALS IN INDOOR DUST AND INTERIOR PAINTED WALLS OF NURSERY SCHOOLS IN NAIROBI COUNTY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>It is important for scientific community. The article will definitely bring to fore and educate the public especially, school proprietors on the effects of using excess paints ignorantly viewed as beautification of the Nursery setting of Schools.</p> <p>It is but the Author may wish to add <i>Kenya</i> to tell case study area/country</p> <p>It is very adequate but may I suggest the use of full names of heavy metals. For instance: Chromium (Cr), Lead (Pb) in the abstracts being that it generally defines the article.</p> <p>They are okay.</p> <p>Absolutely correct.</p> <p>They are sufficient in number but there is still room for recent Literatures. It shows only 27% are 2017 – 2023 Literatures.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Very suitable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	A very good work.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Simon Stephen Mshelia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University Gashua, Nigeria</b>