

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_105224
Title of the Manuscript:	Urethroplasty for Female Urethral Stricture During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Challenging Clinical Experience
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) Suggestions/Comments</p>	<p>Yes, important for those interested in urogynaecology and female urology</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract should be divided into sub-headings – Introduction, Case Reports, Results and Conclusion</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References are incomplete and not sufficient. 70% should be within last 5 years</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the clinical experience, all 3 cases need to be mentioned and discuss separately. 2. Authors needs to discuss the details of the procedure, positive and negative points about urethroplasty in general and dorsal onlay vaginal graft specifically. 3. Patient's aspect in the form of PROM – patient related outcome measures – not mentioned at all. 4. At the end, the authors need to mention the positive aspects of the study and points needed to improve further. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Authors should follow the general guidelines for manuscript preparation	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanjay P Dhangar
Department, University & Country	Medicover Hospital, India