

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_102682
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Status and Drug Abuse among Street Children of Dhaka City in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Due to the high prevalence of the street children phenomenon, this topic holds significant importance for both society and the scientific community.</p> <p>2. To provide a more accurate description, the title could be modified to "Prevalence of Nutritional Status and Drug Abuse among Street Children in Dhaka City, Bangladesh: A Cross-Sectional Study."</p> <p>3. The abstract is more comprehensive compared to the content of the manuscript itself.</p> <p>4. There are several sections that need to be added or clarified in the manuscript's structure. These include addressing the significance of the study, separating the aim of the study as a separate section, including research questions, providing clear information on whether the study is quantitative or qualitative, elaborating on study tools, explaining the data collection procedure and sampling technique, providing updated and detailed information.</p> <p>5. Nothing wasn't mentioned related to ethical and legal approval of the study</p> <p>6. Considering the legality of publishing data collected in 2016 and we are in 2023,</p> <p>7. Separating the results and discussion sections, expanding the conclusion section to better align with the obtained results,</p> <p>8. Adding recommendations and providing more elaboration, including practical implications, and updating the references with more recent sources.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Editing and revision of the whole manuscript to be considered</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>All comments to be considered</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amal Ibrahim Khalil
Department, University & Country	Menoufyia University, King Saud Bin Abdelaziz University for Health Sciences, Egypt