

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_104240
Title of the Manuscript:	RESTRUCTURING THE PUBLIC RELATIONS CAPACITY OF THE STATISTICS, INFORMATICS AND ENCRYPTION COMMUNICATION SERVICE IN SOUTH SULAWESI PROVINCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It is important, since the public relations of institutions or organizations are necessary to strengthen ties with users or clients.</p> <p>2. I suggest this title in case the authors consider it pertinent: "Implementation of Information and Communication Technologies to improve public relations of the Statistics, Informatics and Encryption of Communications service in the province of South Sulawesi".</p> <p>3. I suggest the following elements for the abstract: problem, objective, methodology, results and conclusion.</p> <p>4. I propose that the subsections of the manuscript be in this order: Introduction, Theoretical framework, Methodology, Results, conclusions and discussion.</p> <p>5. Improve the content, attached to a research methodology.</p> <p>6. Consult information sources no older than 10 years and strengthen the theoretical framework.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Make use of punctuation marks, some are missing from the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I suggest: Clearly state the research problem, research question and research objectives. Strengthen the referential framework.</p> <p>In the bibliography I suggest using the format according to the requirements of the journal (APA Format, among others).</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antonia Mireles Medina
Department, University & Country	Tecnológico Nacional de México, México