

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_105627
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOME PRESERVATIVES ON THE MICROBIAL QUALITY OF COLD-SMOKED CATFISH AT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>A novel research to determine the Effect of Ginger and Garlic Marinates on growth of Microbial Contaminants in Cold-Smoked Catfish (<i>Clarius gariepinus</i>) Stored at Room Condition</p> <p>Title is refocused as Effect of Ginger and Garlic Marinates on growth of Microbial Contaminants in Cold-Smoked Catfish (<i>Clarius gariepinus</i>) Stored at Room Condition.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is although comprehensive, however, see manuscript for some amendments.</p> <p>See manuscripts for suggestions</p> <p>A novel scientific research.</p> <p>Current information that will address the aim and answer key questions raised by the objectives of this study is avoided. I will suggest that the authors rework the corrected manuscript to accommodate the very current findings in the field of study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes, however lengthy and wordy sentences must be avoided.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The Author (s) are expected to rewrite manuscript precisely to addressed complaints raised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dapiya Shehu Hycinth
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria