

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_OR_106070 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Determination of Age-Related Variations with Orbital Dimensions of the Orbit based on Plain Radiographs |
| Type of the Article | Original Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalor.com/index.php/OR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | <p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. The orbital dimensions of the subjects used in this study in relation to their age groups revealed a steady rise in the dimensions with the increase in age until the age range of 51-60 years when it reaches the peak value and then gradually declines. These results are quite important for scientific purposes.</p> <p>Yes, it's quite suitable</p> <p>Quite comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Its scientifically correct</p> <p>Please add recent and relevant references.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Must be corrected by using spell check and grammar check. | |
| Optional/General comments | Please follow the journal guidelines. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Name: | Rohit Raina |
| Department, University & Country | AIIMS, India |