

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_105665
Title of the Manuscript:	Survival Strategies in the Economic Recession; the Case of Large Scale Construction Companies in Sri Lanka
Type of the Article	Emperical

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes. The different sections have been mentioned as per the appreciate style.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Authors may look into the following: Mentioning the stratified sampling detail in research methodology section.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	There are references which has not been used in the study: Ref- no 79; 42, Check for others as well Authors may use only references which have been cited. See the following article to understand the reference use: Kumar, P., (2023), Improving IMRaD for writing research articles in social, and health sciences, International Research Journal of Economics and Management Studies, 2(1), 50-53. Doi: 10.56472/25835238/IRJEMS-V2I1P107	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Reference is included twice: Ref no - 71	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prem Kumar
Department, University & Country	Garden City University, India