

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_105868
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Injectable Platelet-Rich Fibrin (i-PRF) As an Endogenous and Autologous Tissue Regenerator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is a well-designed and executed research describing the author's experience with injectable platelet-rich fibrin in facial aesthetic procedures. 2. Anything "autologous" is automatically "endogenous." You may consider eliminating the word "endogenous" from the title. 3. I made some suggestions to improve the clarity of the abstract. Please find the corrections in the reviewer panel of the attached revised file. 4. Yes, however, the correct abbreviation of p-value is "p" in lowercase. 5. Yes, they are sufficient and recent. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I made 197 grammar and style corrections to improve the clarity of the text. I kept the non-American English variation. Please find the fixes in the reviewer panel of the attached file.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celso Eduardo Olivier
Department, University & Country	InstitutoAlergoimuno de Americana, Brazil