

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_105791
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBACTERIAL PROPERTIES AND CHEMICAL PARAMETERS DETERMINATION OF MEDICINAL SOAP PRODUCED WITH ACALYPHA WILKESIANA PLANT EXTRACTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjpac.com/index.php/IRJPAC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes  Yes  Yes  The introduction was too short need to add more references and details about the plant's taxonomy and pharmacological uses also about the bacterial background.  Yes  No , should have at least 35 references added to the intro and the methods for each paragraph. Also to the discussion.  Photos of the bacterial work could be added to verify the authentic of the work.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zena M. Qaragholi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Baghdad, College of Pharmacy, Iraq</b>