

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_106404</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EVALUATION OF MORINGA (<i>Moringa oliefera</i>.Lam) GENOTYPES FOR SEED OIL YIELD AND QUALITY</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In General: it's a good paper and the subject of the manuscript is applicable and useful. <b>Title:</b> the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article <b>Abstract:</b> abstract contains an appropriate summary for the article, the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand, and there are no suggestions for improvement. <b>Introduction:</b> authors do provide adequate background on the topic and reason for this article and describe what the authors hoped to achieve. <b>Results:</b> the results are presented clearly, the authors provide accurate research results, and there is sufficient evidence for each result. <b>Conclusion:</b> in general: Good and the research provides sample data for the authors to make their conclusion.</p> <p>Please provide and edit the following information in the Paper</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Conflict of Interest.</li><li>2. Source of Funding.</li><li>3. Some references without DOI (attached file).</li><li>4. Writing references according to the terms of the journal (attached file).</li><li>5. Reduce the abstract to less than 250 words.</li><li>6. Reduce the number of references.</li><li>7. The result and discussion must be in one paragraph.</li></ol> <p>Finally, this was an attractive article. In its current state, it adds much new insightful information to the field. Therefore, I accept that paper to be published in your journal.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Mohammed Nader Shalaby</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Suez Canal University, Egypt</b>