

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPSS_106172 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS OF YIELD CONTRIBUTING TRAITS IN RICE (Oryza sativa L.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>Yes, the article is scientifically correct and has a practical importance in the scientific community.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>Sections and sub sections of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Adding more recent and relevant references is recommended</p> <p>4. Discussion part is not included. It should be included.</p> <p>5. This article seems to be incomplete. The results of this must be discussed properly with supporting and contradictory studies available.</p> <p>6. a) All the listed references must be cited in the text. b) Latest references of 2022 and 2023 may be added.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>Yes It is highly recommended to have the paper grammar-checked and undergo stylistics and editing.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>This article is having so many typographical and punctuation errors. All these may be corrected before publishing.</p> <p>Please follow the journal guidelines.</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Pallot Sindhumole |
| Department, University & Country | Kerala Agricultural University, India |