

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_105497</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Breeding value of Quality Protein Maize inbreds</b>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Yes</li> <li>2. Yes</li> <li>3. The abstract lack the implication of the study</li> <li>4. Not appropriate under materials and methods I have not found data to be collected and data analysis subsections and I have also not found Discussion subsection under Result and Discussion part. There is also no conclusion part</li> <li>5. This manuscript needs to be corrected i) The introduction lacks background, importance of the study, problem statement &amp; specific objectives ii) Materials and methods part lack description of the study area, data to be collected and data analysis part iii) The study also lack discussion iv) finally there is also no conclusion for the study, so, it should be re-written again to make the paper scientifically sound.</li> <li>6. <b>The reference is not sufficient since the literature</b> related to the study should be added and discussed very well.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	It needs correction of grammar	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	It should be re-written again	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Bullo Neda Tulu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Salale University, Ethiopia</b>