

Original Research Article

Performance assessment of Japanese mint varieties for growth under open field and vertical A-frame structure

Comment [A1]: Performance Assessment of Japanese Mint Varieties for Growth under open field and vertical A-Frame Structure

Abstract

Menthol mint or Japanese mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.), is a member of the family Lamiaceae which is a perennial herbaceous aromatic plant but cultivated as an annual for culinary purpose as leafy vegetable and as natural menthol extraction. It is a major source of raw material for the flavours and fragrance industry. Urban regions are losing cultivable land every day and it has become extremely difficult to produce fresh vegetables of high quality. By using vertical structures, vertical space can be utilized while increasing the quality of vegetable production. The present experiment was conducted at Department of Vegetable Science, Horticultural College & Research Institute, Periyakulam during 2022-2023 to evaluate the performance of nine varieties viz., Kosi (V₁), CIM-Kranti (V₂), Himalaya (V₃), Kalka (V₄), MAS-1 (V₅), Saksham (V₆), Gomti (V₇), Seelayampatti local (V₈) and Bangalore hybrid (V₉) under open field (G₁) and vertical A-frame structure (G₂) condition. Among the nine varieties, Saksham (V₆) showed increase in growth parameters in both the conditions. The observations on growth parameters were recorded for plant height (cm), number of leaves, root length (cm), fresh weight of roots (g) and dry weight of roots (g). The variety Saksham in vertical A-frame structure recorded maximum plant height (53.05 cm), number of leaves (112.73), root length (39.92 cm), fresh weight of roots (3.204g) and dry weight of roots (0.874g) followed by the variety CIM-Kranti in vertical A-frame structure (48.83cm, 77.61, 37.75cm, 2.582g and 0.648g). As a result, the interactive action of G₂V₆ showed maximum growth due to the availability of nutrients in the root system and timely application of water and nutrient helped in better plant parameters.

Comment [A2]: Omit

Comment [A3]: omit

Comment [A4]: how many structures are there in this research? Please specify.

Comment [A5]: This experiment was conducted...

Comment [A6]: omit

Comment [A7]: Saksham variety in vertical A-frame structure yielded the following: maximum plant height (53.05), ...

Key words: Japanese mint, Hydroponics, A-frame, Growth parameters

1. Introduction

Japanese mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) is a herbaceous perennial plant which belongs to the family Lamiaceae commonly known as Menthol mint or Japanese mint. Mint is indigenous to temperate parts of Europe, Eastern Siberia, Western and Central Asia, North America as well as Himalayas. It has a circumboreal range. At global level, India, China, Brazil and Vietnam are its top producers due to their strong economies. More than 80% of the crop in India is produced in Uttar Pradesh, which is the main growing location for *Mentha arvensis*. Punjab, Madhya Pradesh and Bihar which are the neighbouring states, are responsible for the remaining 20% (Desai et al., 2018).

Comment [A8]: Lamiaceae family and

Vertical farming is a process of planting or growing plants vertically which involves stacking them horizontally in layers. Vertical farming is a cutting-edge technique for intensive farming that can greatly boost the production of crops. It was made available in Singapore, Japan, Spain, United States and Europe (Naskooriet al., 2021).

In hydroponic, Dr. Allan Cooper created the nutrition film technique (NFT) in England in the late 1960's. The most advanced type, called NFT, involves the flow of a thin layer of nutrient solution through plastic PVC pipes or channels, where the nutrient pump pushes water from a hydroponic reservoir to a growing tray as a thin layer of solution. The water then flows through gravity to the plant's dangling roots, where it receives its nutrients. According to Domingues *et al.* (2012), catchment pipes with nutrient solution are regularly checked for replenishing of solutions before it is drained off.

The main benefit of using vertical farming technology is the higher crop production that comes with a lesser requirement for land area per unit of land. Plants can be grown everywhere, i.e., in small places with a controlled growth environment in vertical farming, which increases their capacity for continuous production in a short growing period with less space (Kumar *et al.*, 2020). The use of soilless farming method includes hydroponics, aquaponics and aeroponics as well as controlled-environment agriculture, which strives to maximize plant development (Naskoori *et al.*, 2021). For the benefit of urban dwellers, the present study was carried out to compare the yield and quality of different mint varieties in (A-frame) vertical structure and in open field condition.

2. Materials and method

The Department of Vegetable Science, Horticulture College and Research Institute, Periyakulam, which is situated at a latitude of 10.13 North and longitude of 77.59 East, is the current experiment was conducted in the years 2022. The purpose of the experiment was to assess the potential of different mint cultivars with vertical farming and open field condition. This trial was laid out with the Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replications.

A vertical A-frame structure was used which was made out of 4-inch PVC pipe and fertigation was given through a 1hp pump which was regulated by an electronic timer. Netted pots of 3-inch were used for growing the mint varieties. The wick system was followed in vertical A-frame to maintain the water level for the plants. The growing media used was cocopeat, the compressed cocopeat blocks were soaked, washed twice and used as growing media. TDS ranged from 40 to 50ppm, pH ranged from 6.5 to 7.0 and EC ranged from 0.4 to 0.5 dSm⁻¹ were maintained in the water and reverse osmosis water was used in this study.

Factor – 1 (Growing conditions)

G₁ - Open field condition

G₂ – Vertical (A-Frame)

Factor – 2 (Varieties)

V₁ - Kosi

V₂ - CIM-Kranti

V₃ - Himalaya

V₄ - Kalka

V₅ – MAS-1

Comment [A9]: Method

Note: no need to reflect the materials as it is understood.

Comment [A10]: is the place where the experiment was conducted in the years 2022.

Comment [A11]: 3-inches

Comment [A12]: The compressed cocopeat blocks were soaked, washed twice and used as growing media.

V₆ - Saksham

V₇ – Gomti

V₈ – Seelayampatti local

V₉ – Bangalore hybrid

2.1. Planting of mint in vertical A-frame

Nodal cuttings of mint was used as the propagating material. In netted pots, 10-12 cm cuttings was planted. At the base of the net pot, a wick (cloth) was inserted to absorb water from the container and to hold on moisture for the plants. Cuttings were kept above the wick for faster sprouting and filled with cocopeat. An automated timer was attached to the fertigation tank and programmed to turn on the pump for 30 minutes each day. Once in 10 days, nutrients were fed to the nutrient reservoir after cleaning. The TDS was kept as 300 ppm for the stock solutions A and B.

Comment [A13]: Planting of Mint in vertical A-frame

Comment [A14]: Nodal cuttings of mint were used as the propagating material. In netted pots, 10-12 cm cuttings were planted.

2.2. Nutrient preparation

Nutrient A: It contains 50g each of calcium nitrate and potassium nitrate which were weighed out and dissolved in one litre of distilled water.

Nutrient B: Magnesium sulphate and a blend of micronutrients was dissolved in one litre of distilled water separately and combined together. A 50 ml of the nutrient A solution was added and mixed, then 50 ml of nutrient B solution was added and mixed thoroughly. The nutrient solution mixture was added to the fertigation tank slowly by checking the TDS of the water till it reaches 300 ppm.

Comment [A15]: 50 ml of the nutrient A solution and 50 ml of nutrient B solution were added together and mixed thoroughly.

3. Results and Discussion

The performance of nine varieties was tested in two different growing conditions viz., open field (G₁) and vertical A Frame (G₂) and the morphological parameters like plant height (cm), number of leaves, root length (cm), shoot length (cm), fresh weight of roots (g/plant) and dry weight of roots (g/plant) were recorded on 45 days after planting and furnished in the table 1 and table 2.

3.1. Plant height (cm)

The plant height was the highest (44.36 cm) in A-frame vertical structure (G₂) when compared to open field (G₁) with 36.22 cm. Among the different varieties, the variety Saksham (V₆) recorded the maximum plant height (46.94 cm) and minimum plant height was registered in the variety Himalaya (V₃) with 36.12 cm. Among the interactive effect, the variety Saksham (V₆) registered the highest plant height (40.83 cm) followed by Seelayampatti local (V₈) with 39.42 cm and the lowest plant height (30.52 cm) was recorded in the variety Kalka (V₄) under the open field (G₁). In the A-frame vertical structure (G₂), the same variety Saksham (V₆) registered the highest plant height (53.05 cm) followed by the variety CIM-Kranti (V₂) with 48.83 cm and it was lowest (39.35 cm) in the variety Bangalore hybrid (V₉) (Table 1). Reusing fertilizer solutions promotes better plant growth and development in this system. Similar research results were reported by Zekkiet *al.* (1996) in tomatoes, an NFT system with regular recycling of nutrient solutions resulted in higher plant height and productivity. Kulkarni *et al.*,

Comment [A16]: The plant height of (44.36 cm) was the highest in A-frame vertical structure...

Comment [A17]: variety Saksham (V₆) have reached

Comment [A18]: plant height of (46.94 cm)

Comment [A19]: height of (40.83 cm) followed...

Comment [A20]: plant height of (30.52 cm)...

Comment [A21]: plant height of (53.05 cm) ...

Note: you may follow all through out...

(2016) recorded similar findings in spinach and coriander and stated that plants produced under soilless condition were found to be maximum in height than plants grown under soil condition.

3.2. Number of leaves per plant

Significant variations were observed between different treatments under two different conditions on number of leaves per plant. The number of leaves per plant was the highest in A frame structure (72.29) whereas it was 55.96 in open field (G_1). The variety Saksham (V_6) had the maximum number of leaves among the different varieties, with 87.73, and the variety Kalka (V_4) had the lowest, with 54.69. Among the interaction effect, under the open field situation (G_1), the variety Saksham (V_6) reported higher number of leaves (62.73), followed by Seelayampatti local (V_8) with 59.33, and the variety Himalaya (V_3) recorded the lowest number of leaves (30.52). The same variety Saksham (V_6) recorded the maximum of (112.73) number of leaves in the A-frame vertical structure condition (G_2), followed by the variety CIM-Kranti (V_2) with 77.61, and the variety Bangalore hybrid (V_9) recorded the lowest (57.06) number of leaves (Table 1). Since the plant height was increased the number of leaves may also be increased in vertical A-frame structure. Shanmugabhavatharani *et al.*, (2022) reported the similar results, that the maximum number of leaves was observed in vertical A type with NFT system in mint.

Comment [A22]: variations were observed

Comment [A23]:

Comment [A24]: Among the interaction effects,

3.3. Root length (cm)

The statistical analysis on root length showed significant difference between different varieties. Between the growing conditions, highest root length (33.72 cm) was recorded in A-frame vertical structure (G_2) when compared to open field (G_1) with 30.79 cm. Among the different varieties, the variety Saksham (V_6) recorded maximum root length (37.37 cm) and minimum root length was in the variety Kalka (V_4) with 28.65 cm. Among the interactive effect, the variety Saksham (V_6) registered the highest (34.83cm) root length followed by Seelayampatti local (V_8) with 33.02cm and the lowest root length (26.55cm) was recorded in the variety Kalka (V_4) under the open field condition (G_1). In the A-frame vertical structure condition (G_2), the same variety Saksham (V_6) registered the highest (39.92cm) root length followed by the variety CIM-Kranti (V_2) with 37.75cm and it was lowest (29.77cm) in the variety Bangalore hybrid (V_9) (Table 1). Kotadia *et al.*, (2012) recorded that the leafy vegetables are grown under different conditions resulted in increased root length. Kay *et al.*, 1990 reported that shorter roots are produced due to high ammonium nitrogen which resulted in depressed root metabolism.

3.4. Fresh weight of roots (g/plant)

Significant variations were observed between different treatments for fresh weight of roots. In comparison with the growing conditions the A-frame vertical structure (G_2) recorded maximum root fresh weight (1.992 g) than the open field (G_1) with 1.091g. Among the different varieties, the variety Saksham (V_6) recorded maximum root fresh weight (2.164g) and minimum fresh weight of root was in the variety Kalka (V_4) with 1.268g. Among the interactive effect, under open field condition (G_1) variety Saksham (V_6) recorded the highest root fresh weight (1.125g) followed by Seelayampatti local (V_8)

Comment [A25]: variations were

with 1.112g and the lowest root fresh weight (1.053g) was recorded in the variety MAS-1 (V_5). In the A-frame vertical structure condition (G_2), the same variety Saksham (V_6) registered the highest (3.204g) root fresh weight followed by the variety CIM-Kranti (V_2) with 2.582g and the lowest root weight (1.436g) was recorded in the variety Bangalore hybrid (V_9)(Table 2).The increased root weight may be increased due to the recycling of nutrient to the plant growth. Oagile *et al.*, 2016 observed similar results in tomato and stated that the plant height, root length and shoot length were increased due to bigger container size which enhanced tomato seedlings growth.

3.5. Dry weight of roots (g/plant)

The statistical analysis on dry weight of roots showed a significant difference for varieties. The A-frame vertical structure (G_2) recorded the highest root dry weight (0.518g) than the open field (G_1), which had 0.459g. The highest dry weight of root among the several varieties was recorded by Saksham (V_6) at 0.773g, while the lowest dry weight of root (0.353g) was found in Himalaya (V_3). Under open field condition (G_1), variety Saksham (V_6) recorded the highest root dry weight (0.673g), followed by seelayampatti local (V_8) with 0.599g, and variety MAS-1 (V_5) recorded the lowest root dry weight (0.317g). The same variety Saksham (V_6) displayed the highest root dry weight (0.874g) in the A-frame vertical structure condition (G_2) followed by the variety CIM-Kranti (V_2) with 0.648g and lowest root dry weight (0.379g) was recorded on variety Bangalore hybrid (V_9)(Table 2). Similar findings were recorded by Deekshith *et al.*, 2022 in leafy vegetables and fruit vegetables and stated that the vertical structure showed increase in both root fresh and dry weight of the plants.



Fig 1: Performance of mint in Vertical A-Frame a). G_2V_6 (Saksham) b). G_2V_2 (CIM-Kranti)

Table 1: Performance of mint varieties under different conditions on plant height, number of leaves and root length

Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves				Root length (cm)				
	Open field (G ₁)	Vertical A-Frame (G ₂)	Mean		Open field (G ₁)	Vertical A-Frame(G ₂)	Mean		Open field (G ₁)	Vertical A-Frame(G ₂)	Mean
V ₁	33.46	45.67	39.56	V ₁	55.38	72.55	63.96	V ₁	31.08	35.83	33.45
V ₂	38.37	48.83	43.61	V ₂	58.46	77.61	68.03	V ₂	32.65	37.75	35.22
V ₃	31.89	40.34	36.12	V ₃	50.89	62.92	56.92	V ₃	28.68	31.51	30.09
V ₄	30.52	41.77	36.14	V ₄	49.21	60.17	54.69	V ₄	26.55	30.76	28.65
V ₅	34.72	42.96	38.84	V ₅	53.94	71.84	62.89	V ₅	27.21	31.62	29.41
V ₆	40.83	53.05	46.94	V ₆	62.73	112.73	87.73	V ₆	34.83	39.92	37.37
V ₇	38.12	45.41	41.76	V ₇	56.52	65.32	60.92	V ₇	31.15	33.98	32.56
V ₈	39.42	41.88	40.65	V ₈	59.33	70.45	64.89	V ₈	33.02	32.34	32.68
V ₉	38.66	39.35	39.01	V ₉	57.19	57.06	57.12	V ₉	31.98	29.77	30.87
Mean	36.22	44.36		Mean	55.96	72.29		Mean	30.79	33.72	
	S.Ed	C.D (0.05)			S.Ed	C.D (0.05)			S.Ed	C.D (0.05)	
G	1.253	2.547**		G	1.998	4.063**		G	1.011	2.056**	
V	2.658	5.404**		V	4.239	8.620**		V	2.145	4.362**	
G×V	3.759	7.642(NS)		G×V	5.995	12.190**		G×V	3.034	6.169*	

Table 2: Performance of mint varieties under different conditions on Root fresh weight and root dry weight

Root fresh weight (g/plant)			Root dry weight (g/plant)				
	Open field (G ₁)	Vertical A-Frame(G ₂)	Mean		Open field (G ₁)	Vertical A-Frame(G ₂)	Mean
V ₁	1.085	2.241	1.663	V ₁	0.364	0.531	0.447
V ₂	1.108	2.582	1.845	V ₂	0.452	0.648	0.551
V ₃	1.074	1.583	1.328	V ₃	0.321	0.386	0.353
V ₄	1.058	1.478	1.268	V ₄	0.385	0.357	0.371
V ₅	1.053	1.712	1.382	V ₅	0.317	0.466	0.391
V ₆	1.125	3.204	2.164	V ₆	0.673	0.874	0.773
V ₇	1.096	1.887	1.491	V ₇	0.548	0.514	0.531
V ₈	1.112	1.811	1.461	V ₈	0.599	0.507	0.553
V ₉	1.105	1.436	1.272	V ₉	0.475	0.379	0.427
Mean	1.091	1.992		Mean	0.459	0.518	
	S.Ed	C.D (0.05)			S.Ed	C.D (0.05)	
G	0.050	0.103**		G	0.015	0.030**	
V	0.107	0.219**		V	0.032	0.065**	
G×V	0.152	0.309**		G×V	0.045	0.092**	

4. Conclusion

Between both the conditions, the overall growth was increased in vertical A-frame structure when compared to open field condition. Under tropical conditions, the variety Saksham performed maximum growth in open field and vertical A-frame. With the use of hydroponics, crops can be produced in small spaces with the right amount of water and nutrients. The variety Saksham showed increase in growth characters since the nutrients are available to plants at regular intervals and the water usage is also reduced by recycling the nutrient solution to the plants. This results in good quality of plants with higher yield. Since the cultivable land is decreasing in urban areas, the hydroponic with vertical A frame structure will be an alternate solution to produce quality vegetables in future days to meet the requirement of increased population.

Comment [A26]: You may omit this phrase...

5. Reference

1. Brown, B., Hart, J.M., Wescott, M.P. and Christensen, N.W. 2003. The critical role of nutrient management in mint production. *Better Crops.*, 87(4): 9-11.
2. Deekshith, S. S., Janavi, G. J., Rajangam, J., & Muthiah, C. 2022. Performance of leafy and fruit vegetables for growth and yield in vertical structure. *The Pharma Innovation Journal.*, 11(9): 885-888.
3. Desai, S., Pushpa, T. N., Srikantaprasad, D., Kantharaju, V., Biradar, I. B., Shalini, R. M., & Asha, M. R. 2018. Effect of dates of planting on growth, yield and quality of menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) cultivars planted during Rabi season. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.*, 7(9), 625-633.
4. Domingues DS, Takahashi HW, Camara CA, Nixdorf SL. 2012. Automated system developed to control pH and concentration of nutrient solution evaluated in hydroponic lettuce production. *Computers and electronics in agriculture.*, 84:53-61
5. Kay, Christopher J, Larry P Solomonson, and Michael J Barber. 1990. "Oxidation-reduction potentials of flavin and Mo-pterin centers in assimilatory nitrate reductase: variation with pH." *Biochemistry.*, 29 (48):10823-10828.
6. Kotadia, H. R., Patil, S. J., Bhalerao, P. P., Gaikwad, S. S., & Mahant, H. D. (2012). Influence of different growing conditions on yield of leafy vegetables during summer season. *Asian Journal of Horticulture.*, 7(2), 300-302.
7. Kumar, A., Shukla, S., Dixit, P., Thupstan, T., & Kumar, K. (2020). Vertical farming promising cultivation for horticultural crops. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 9(6), 2491-2494.
8. Kulkarni, S., Abraham, P. S., Mohanty, N., Kadam, N. N., & Thakur, M. 2018. Sustainable raft based hydroponic system for growing spinach and coriander. In *Techno-Societal 2016: Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Technologies for Societal Applications* (pp. 117-125). Springer International Publishing.
9. Naskoori, K., Reddy, K. K., Reddy, M. V., & Devi, M. R. 2021. To study the scope of vertical farming in India: A. *The Pharma Innovation Journal.*, SP-11(9): 158-162.

Comment [A27]:

Note: should you want to give importance to the authors reflected in your references: Please follow this example below:

1. Brown, B., Hart, J.M., Wescott, M.P. and Christensen, N.W. 2003. The critical role of nutrient management in mint production. *Better Crops.*, 87(4): 9-11.

10. Oagile, O., Ramalekane, O., Mojeremane, W., Matsuane, C., Legwaila, G.M. and Mathowa, T. 2016. Growth and development response of Kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. *Acephala* L.) seedlings to different commercial growing media. *Int. J. Plant Soil Sci.*, 12(4):1-7.
11. Shanmugabhavatharani, R., Sankari, A., &Kaleeswari, R. K. 2022. Comparative Performance of Mint in Different Hydroponics Systems. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 109(special), 1.
12. Zekki, H., Gauthier, L. and Gosselin, A.1996. Growth, productivity, and mineral composition of hydroponically cultivated greenhouse tomatoes, with or without nutrient solution recycling. *J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci.*, 121(6):1082-1088

UNDER PEER REVIEW