

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_106354</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Selective isolation of Phytophthora infestans from potatoes using rye agar media</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the Manuscript is very important for scientific community. The findings are very interesting and relevant and should be widely disseminated, particularly to those involved in potato growing areas in the world.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable. But you may revise it to: "Selective Isolation and characterization of Phytophthora.....in (Location/Place).</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive (But include the objective of the study, and a bit of data analysis in the abstract)</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically very correct</p> <p>Yes, references are sufficient and recent, but a little more could be searched and added</p> <p>Generally, a well structured and scientifically sound manuscript with logical flow of the text.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language/English quality of the article is acceptable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>A very important study You may revise the title and abstract as indicated above.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kabbale Fredrick George</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Busoga University, Uganda</b>