Original Research Article

Influence of organics on productivity and economics of summer greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was executed to investigate the impact of organic fertilizers and organic sprays on the yield and economic aspects of summer greengram cultivation. The experiment followed a split plot design with three replications. The main plots encompassed four organic fertilizer treatments: Control (M1), Farm yard manure (M2), Vermicompost (M3), and Poultry manure (M4). The sub-plots included three organic spray treatments: Control (S1), Panchagavya (S2), and Jeevamrutha (S3).

The outcomes indicated that the utilization of poultry manure in conjunction with panchagavya spray resulted in the highest seed yield (779 kg ha^{-1}) and haulm yield (1909 kg ha^{-1}) for summer greengram. Additionally, this particular combination exhibited elevated gross returns (₹ 48,648 ha-1), net returns (₹ 30,125 ha^{-1}), and a favorable benefit-cost ratio (2.60).

Key words: Greengram, Organic sprays, *Panchagavya*, *Jeevamrutha*, Seed yield, Economics.

INTRODUCTION

In India, the cultivation of pulses spans across approximately 23.55 million hectares, resulting in an annual production of 17.15 million tonnes, with an average yield of 728 kg per hectare. Specifically, in Andhra Pradesh, pulses are grown across 1.04 million hectares, yielding around 0.95 million tonnes and exhibiting a productivity of 911 kg per hectare (Indiastat, 2014-15).

Within the rainfed and irrigated conditions of the Southern Agro-climatic zone of Andhra Pradesh, crops are sown during the kharif season to make use of rainfall and during rabi season to capitalize on residual moisture, allowing for fields to remain fallow in summer, from February to April. Recognizing this vacant period, the introduction of summer greengram into the cropping system is proposed to address the demand for pulses.

Comment [K1]: Organics cover variety of inputs/sustems, means it is a broader term. Try to use specific terminology to justify relation between treatments and climate change

Comment [K2]: Abstract should be written into parts such as aim, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, conclusion.
Abstract needs to be rephrased as it is not justifying the rationale of the research undertaken.

Comment [K3]: Treatment details need to be there in the abstract as otherwise it asks every reader to go through the manuscript to have information about technical programme of the research.

Comment [K4]: Results are not supported by genuine reasoning

Comment [K5]:

Comment [K6]: Introduction lcks factual background that may justify the relation between organic farming and climate change or organic farming can act as climate resilient technology. Rationale of the problem should be described in the context of climate change and how organic farming can act as solution to the problem. The scope and future prospects should also be defined in the introduction which may act as basic ground to conduct this research.

Comment [K7]: Latest facts and figures need to be presented.

Comment [K8]: Italic with first letter captal.

Comment [K9]: Italics with forst letter uppercase

Summer greengram is a suitable addition due to its brief maturity period of only 60 to 65 days, allowing it to fit neatly within the available time frame. It thrives solely on residual moisture and requires minimal farming inputs. The rapid growth habit of summer greengram requires limited agronomic attention, while its ability to cover the ground swiftly handles weed issues, often within 2 to 3 weeks of sowing.

The extensive use of agricultural chemicals has weakened the ecological foundation and led to soil and water resource degradation, along with a decline in food quality. This has prompted a surge of interest in adopting "Organic Farming" as a solution to the challenges posed by modern chemical-based agriculture. Organic farming not only sustains crop production but also nurtures the environment.

Organic amendments like farmyard manure (FYM), vermicompost, and poultry manure improve soil organic carbon levels, enhance soil water retention, promote soil structure, and make micro and macro nutrients more accessible, ultimately boosting soil quality and crop output. These organic practices also stimulate soil microorganisms, which in turn enhance nutrient solubility and availability to plants. Organic products such as panchagavya and jeevamrutha play a significant role in promoting plant growth and immunity. They offer essential nutrients, growth-promoting hormones, and beneficial microorganisms like lactic acid bacteria, yeast, actinomycetes, and photosynthetic bacteria. These microorganisms, along with well-established fertilizers like azotobacter, azospirillum, and phosphobacterium, contribute to improving soil health, crop growth, and yield.

In light of these considerations, the integration of summer greengram and the adoption of organic farming practices present promising avenues for enhancing sustainable crop production and preserving the ecosystem

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In the summer season of 2017, a field experiment was executed at S.V. Agricultural College Farm in Tirupati. The soil used for the experiment had a sandy

Comment [K10]: Language of the text in the body need to rephrased to prevent grammatical errors.
Incorporate theformula use to compute the B:C.
Weather data of major parameters need to ne added to justify the relation between experiment and existing weather conditions.
Methodology followed to collect data pertaining to yield and yield attributes of the crop.

Comment [K11]: If possible, give the data minimum of two seasons/locations which improves genuineness of research outcomes.

loam texture, neutral pH (6.8), low organic carbon content (0.38 percent), and a limited availability of nitrogen (150 kg ha^{-1}). It featured a medium availability of phosphorus (12 kg ha^{-1}) and a high availability of potassium (161 kg ha^{-1}).

Comment [K12]: Mention the technical term to interpret the resul of soil analysis. All the results should be mentioned as in the form of table with reference of methodology followed.

The experimental setup followed a split plot design with three replications. The primary plot encompassed four distinct organic manure treatments: Control (M1), Farm yard manure (M2) at a rate of 10 t ha^{-1}, Vermicompost (M3) at 2 t ha^{-1}, and Poultry manure (M4) at 2 t ha^{-1}. The sub-plots included three organic spray treatments: Control (S1), Panchagavya (S2) at a spray concentration of 3 percent, and Jeevamrutha (S3) as a direct spray without dilution at a rate of 200 l ha^{-1}.

Comment [K13]: Incorporate te ANOVA of the statistical analysis.
Gross and net plot size is not mentioned.

Comment [K14]: Reference need to be added

The designated organic manures were thoroughly integrated into the soil 15 days before sowing the crop. Panchagavya was prepared a month ahead of its application, while jeevamrutha was prepared 2-5 days before being applied to the greengram crop. The application of panchagavya was initiated 10 days after sowing and continued until 10 days before the harvest period.

Comment [K15]: incorporated

Comment [K16]: Interval between subsequent applications

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield attributes

Yield attributes, including the number of pods per plant, pod length, number of seeds per pod, and test weight of greengram, were influenced by various organic manures and organic sprays. The exception was the number of pods per plant, which was impacted not only by different organic manures and organic sprays individually but also by their combined interaction. Conversely, the number of seeds per pod remained unaffected by any of the organic treatments (refer to Table 1).

Comment [K17]: Table 1

Among the treatments, plots enriched with poultry manure displayed the highest yield attributes, outperforming all other treatments. The subsequent favorable treatment was the incorporation of vermicompost, followed by farm yard manure. Conversely, plots without any organic manure recorded a lower number of pods per plant. This phenomenon can be attributed to the more robust and vigorous vegetative growth, which consequently facilitated a more efficient allocation of nutrients from source to sink. Similar observations were made by Yadav *et al.* (2007) and Rao *et al.* (2013).

Comment [K18]: Results should be supported with latest references.

Furthermore, higher yield attributes were evident in plots where panchagavya was utilized as a spray, closely followed by the effects of jeevamrutha spray. On the contrary, untreated plots showed inferior yield attributes. This outcome can be attributed to an enhanced supply of essential nutrients to the plants, which promoted robust vegetative growth, heightened photosynthetic activity, and efficient translocation and accumulation of photosynthates in economically valuable parts of the plant. This conclusion aligned with the findings of Patil *et al.* (2012), Chaudhari *et al.* (2013), and Jadhav and Kulkarni (2016).

Table 1. Yield attributes of greengram as influenced by different organic manures and organic sprays

Treatments	Number of pods plant^{-1} *	Length of the pod (cm)	Number of seeds pod^{-1}	Test weight (g)
Organic manures				
M_1 – Control	18.9	8.6	11.8	22.70
M_2 - FYM @ 10 t ha^{-1}	26.0	8.8	12.3	23.03
M_3 - Vermicompost @ 2 t ha^{-1}	29.6	9.3	12.1	23.74
M_4 - Poultry manure @ 2 t ha^{-1}	31.7	9.4	12.6	25.50
SEm±	0.39	0.10	0.17	0.51
CD (P=0.05)	1.3	0.3	N.S.	1.8
Organic sprays				
S_1 - Control	23.2	8.8	11.8	21.87
S_2 - *Panchagavya* as 3 % spray	29.9	9.4	12.5	25.29
S_3 - *Jeevamrutha* without dilution @ 200 l ha^{-1}	26.6	8.9	12.3	24.07
SEm±	0.48	0.14	0.28	0.388
CD (P=0.05)	1.4	0.4	N.S.	1.16
Interaction				

Comment [K19]: Author guidelines need to be followed for layout and design of table.

Comment [K20]: Pod length (cm)

Comment [K21]: P=.05

Comment [K22]: P=.05

Comment [K23]: CD and P value of interaction should be goven in interaction table.

S at M				
SEm+	0.68	0.17	0.30	0.879
CD (P=0.05)	2.9	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
M at S				
SEm+	0.87	0.25	0.48	0.812
CD (P=0.05)	2.7	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.

* Interaction table furnished separately

Table 2. Number of pods plant^{-1} of greengram as influenced by interaction of organic manures and organic sprays

Treatments	**Organic manures**				
Organic sprays	**M_1**	**M_2**	**M_3**	**M_4**	**Mean**
S_1	17.0	23.7	29.6	31.7	23.2
S_2	20.7	31.0	32.7	35.0	29.9
S_3	19.0	23.3	31.3	32.7	26.6
Mean	18.9	26.0	29.6	31.7	

The interaction between organic manures and organic sprays yielded noteworthy results, notably in the case of the number of pods per plant. The combination of poultry manure and panchagavya spray exhibited the highest number of pods per plant (as indicated in Table 2), a significant improvement over other combinations and notably higher than the count achieved with no manure and no organic spray. These significant interactions between organic manures and sprays in relation to yield attributes corroborated the findings of Rao *et al.* (2013).

Table 3. Seed yield and haulm yield (kg ha^{-1}) of greengram as influenced by different organic manures and organic sprays

Treatments	**Seed yield**	**Haulm yield**
Organic manures		

Comment [K24]: P=.05

Comment [K25]: P=.05

Comment [K26]: Author guidelines need to be followed for layout and design of table.

Comment [K27]: Table of given parameters should be incorporated after the text.

Comment [K28]: Author guidelines need to be followed for layout and design of table.

Comment [K29]: Treatment

M_1 – Control	444	1023
M_2 - FYM @ 10 t ha^{-1}	642	1635
M_3 - Vermicompost @ 2 t ha^{-1}	670	1816
M_4 - Poultry manure @ 2 t ha^{-1}	726	1852
SEm±	8.9	27.5
CD (P=0.05)	31	95
Organic sprays		
S_1 – Control	529	1482
S_2 - *Panchagavya* as 3 % spray	672	1642
S_3 - *Jeevamrutha* without dilution @ 200 l ha^{-1}	660	1620
SEm±	5.6	17.4
CD (P=0.05)	17	52
Interaction		
S at M		
SEm±	15.5	47.6
CD (P=0.05)	N.S.	N.S.
M at S		
SEm±	12.9	39.6
CD (P=0.05)	N.S.	N.S.

Comment [K30]: Either use code of the treatment of proper name of the treatment. For example, M1 or Control.

Comment [K31]: P=.05

Comment [K32]: Use technical term of these material used to spray

Comment [K33]: @

Comment [K34]: delete

Comment [K35]: P=.05

Comment [K36]: P=.05

Seed yield and Haulm yield

Poultry manure incorporation resulted in the highest seed yield among the treatments, significantly surpassing the other options (refer to Table 3). Following this, vermicompost exhibited the next best performance, although it was on par with farm yard manure. Both of these were notably superior to the control treatment. Regarding haulm yield, poultry manure treatment led to the highest production, comparable with vermicompost and farm yard manure, and notably surpassing the control treatment. Conversely, the lowest haulm yield was observed in the control group.

The increased seed and haulm yield can be attributed to the heightened provision of essential plant nutrients facilitated by the translocation of photosynthates accumulated through the influence of organic nutrient sources. This translocation and subsequent accumulation in the economically valuable parts of the plant contributed to enhanced yield attributes, elevated chlorophyll content, and increased nitrate reductase activity, resulting in improved grain yield. This aligns with the findings of Anil Kumar *et al.* (2007), Rao *et al.* (2013), and Singh *et al.* (2015).

Regarding the organic sprays, panchagavya application led to higher seed and haulm yield, on par with the results obtained from jeevamrutha application, with no significant difference between the two. Conversely, the control group yielded lower seed and haulm yield. The increased seed and haulm yield in response to panchagavya could be attributed to the presence of IAA and GA in the spray, stimulating plant responses and leading to increased production of growth regulators within the cellular system. The ensuing action of these growth regulators within the plant system encouraged essential growth and development processes, along with improved translocation and accumulation of photosynthates from source to sink, ultimately enhancing grain yield. These findings correlate with the outcomes of studies conducted by Somasundaram *et al.* (2007), Swaminathan et al. (2007), Chaudhari *et al.* (2013), and Yadav and Tripathi (2013).

When considering the combined effect of organic manures and organic sprays, the application of poultry manure in conjunction with panchagavya

Comment [K37]: Discussion does not suitably describe the relation between results and existing weather conditions. How the outcomes of study address the problem of climate change ?

Comment [K38]: Table 3

spray resulted in the highest seed yield (779 kg ha^{-1}) and haulm yield (1909 kg ha^{-1}) for summer greengram, outperforming the individual application of organic manures and organic sprays.

Table 4. Gross return (ha^{-1}), net return (ha^{-1}) and B C ratio of greengram as influenced by different organic manures and organic sprays

Treatments	Gross return	Net return	B C ratio
Organic manures			
M_1 – Control	27,683	12,440	1.79
M_2 - FYM @ 10 t ha^{-1}	40,343	19,989	1.99
M_3 - Vermicompost @ 2 t ha^{-1}	42,016	10,824	1.30
M_4 - Poultry manure @ 2 t ha^{-1}	45,429	27,936	2.56
SEm±	577.7	411.4	0.044
CD (P=0.05)	1,994	1420	0.15
Organic sprays			
S_1 – Control	33,235	14,173	1.80
S_2 - *Panchagavya* as 3 % spray	41,962	19,831	1.99
S_3 - *Jeevamrutha* without dilution @ 200 l ha^{-1}	41,406	19,389	1.94
SEm±	353.7	302.7	0.031
CD (P=0.05)	1,060	907	0.09
Interaction			
S at M			
SEm±	1000.7	712.7	0.077
CD (P=0.05)	2,281	1,927	0.20
M at S			
SEm±	817.0	643.2	0.068
CD (P=0.05)	2,634	2,047	0.21

Comment [K39]: Rs ha^{-1}
Comment [K40]: Rs ha^{-1}
Comment [K41]: Author guidelines need to be followed for layout and design of table. Cost of cultivation need to be added.
Comment [K43]: B:C. Mention the formula in the material and methods.
Comment [K42]: Treatment
Comment [K44]: P=.05
Comment [K45]: @
Comment [K46]: P=.05
Comment [K47]: P=.05
Comment [K48]: P=.05

Economics

Poultry manure application yielded the highest gross return among the experimented treatments, clearly outperforming all others (refer to Table 4). Following this, vermicompost emerged as the next favourable option, closely aligned with farm yard manure. In contrast, the control treatment resulted in a lower gross return. Notably, poultry manure treatment led to the highest net return and benefit-cost (B:C) ratio, significantly surpassing all other treatments. Farm yard manure treatment followed, and the subsequent best results were observed in the control group. Vermicompost treatment yielded a lower net return and B C ratio. The increased gross return can be attributed to improved crop nutrition, resulting in enhanced grain and haulm yield. Similar outcomes were reported by Yadav and Tripathi (2013) and Rao *et al.* (2013).

Regarding organic sprays, panchagavya application led to higher gross return, net return, and B C ratio, on par with the performance of jeevamrutha. Conversely, the control group exhibited a lower gross return. The elevated gross return can be attributed to improved crop nutrition due to consistent application of organic sprays, resulting in heightened grain and haulm yield.

Conclusion

the experiment established that achieving high greengram production is attainable through the pre-sowing incorporation of poultry manure at a rate of 2 t ha^{-1}, coupled with the application of 3% panchagavya spray at 10 days intervals.

Acknowledgement

References

Anil Kumar Yadav, Kins Varghese and Thomas Abraham. 2007. Response of biofertilizer, poultry manure and different levels of phosphorus on nodulation and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) CV. K-851. *Agricultural Science Digest*. 27 (3): 213-215.

Comment [K49]: Table 4

Comment [K50]: B:C

Comment [K51]: B:C

Comment [K52]: Reasoning does not justify the hike in gross returns and improvement in B:C.

Comment [K53]: Conclusion should justify the scope and prospects of the research to address the problem of climate change on the basis of outcomes of the study.

Comment [K54]: Missing

Comment [K55]: Numbering of the references in the order they appear in the text of the manuscript.
Alphabetical arrangement if not required.
Author guidelines are not followed while writing the references.
Latest references need to be incorporated in the manuscript.

Comment [K56]: For example, Yadav AK, Varghese K, Abraham T. Response of biofertilizer, poultry manure and different levels of phosphorus on nodulation and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) CV. K-851. *Agri Sci Digest*. 2007;27(3);213-15.

Chaudhari, I. A., Patel, D. M., Patel, G. N and Patel, S. M. 2013. Effect of various organic sources of nutrients on growth and yield of summer greengram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek]. *Crop Research.* 46 (1- 3): 70-73.

Chhonkar, P. K. 2002. Soil research in India – some oversights and failures. *Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science*. 50(4): 382-432.

Jadhav, R.L and Kulkarni., S. 2016. Effect of foliar spray of nutrients on productivity of greengram (*Vigna radiata*) in North Eastern transitional zone of Karnataka, India. *Legume Research*. 39 (5): 817-819.

Patil, S.V., Halikatti, S.I., Hiremath, S.M., Babalad, H.B., Sreenivasa, M.N., Hebsur, N.S and Somanagouda, G. 2012. Effect of organics on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in vertisols. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science.* 25 (3): 326-331.

Rao, K. T., Upendra Rao, A and Srinivasula Reddy, D. 2013. Residual effect of organic manures on growth, yield and economics of greengram in maize-sunflower-greengram system. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences.* 9(1): 275-279.

Singh, R.V., Tripathi, S.K and Singh, R. P. 2015. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) in custard apple-based agri-horti system under rainfed condition. *Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences.* 7(1): 76-78.

Somasundaram, E., Sankaran, N., Meena, S., Thiyagarajan, TM., Chandaragiri, K and Panneerselvam, S. 2007. Response of greengram to varied levels of *panchagavya* (organic nutrition) foliar spray. *Madras Agricultural Journal.* 90(1-30): 169-172.

Swaminathan, C., Swaminathan, V and Vijayalakshmi, V. 2007. *Panchagavya* Boon to Organic Farming. International Book Distributing Co., India.

www.indiastat.com

Yadav, A.K., Varghese, K and Abraham, T. 2007. Response of biofertilizer, poultry manure and different levels of phosphorus on nodulation and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) CV. K-851. *Agricultural Science Digest*. 27 (3): 213-215.

Yadav, P and Tripathi, A.K. 2013. Growth and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata*) under foliar application of *panchgavya* and leaf extracts of endemic plants. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.* 58 (4): 618-621.

Comment [K57]: Follow the guidelines while writing reference for a book.