

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106177
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of organics on productivity and economics of summer greengram [Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, organic farming can address the concept of sustainable agriculture provided it is technically competent to meet the needs of farming community and ever growing population.</p> <p>No. Organic manures and sprays improvise the ecological and economic viability of the summer greengram (Provided the authors can justify the rationale of research on both fronts)</p> <p>No. Needs re-phrasing to express the research technically.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>It can be expressed scientifically but needs to be presented authentically. Not sufficient references and the research need to be justified on the ground of latest references. It has been recommended through comments in the manuscript. Data given in the manuscript is of only one crop season. Genuineness of data enhances by repeating the experiment. Technicality and scientific ground needs to be developed. Programme of work seems to be very raw in nature. The composition of the spray formulations needs to be described which can effectively express their effect on crop and how they can prove fruitful in the scenario of climate change. Every experiment or research result into certain outcomes but the thing that matters is whether that result could justify the rationale of the study or not. Conclusion of any study should describe the scope and prospects based on the results but in this experiment only resultant values are given rather than comprehensive and concrete value of research. Intensive agriculture is very much sensitive to time, rate, method and dose of any input used. If a farmer has to go repeated spray of any input from sowing till harvest, it will certainly lose practical viability of that input at farmer level especially on the basis of monetary terms.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Needs to be re-phrased and checked by all the authors before submission.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manpreet Jaidka
Department, University & Country	Punjab Agricultural University, India