

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105774
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CI ENGINE PERFORMANCE UTILIZING PALM OIL BIODIESEL BLEND
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The author/s is/are commended for doing this kind of research. The paper is very good in its intention. "ASSESSMENT OF CI ENGINE PERFORMANCE UTILIZING PALM OIL BIODIESEL BLEND" Yes, The author comprises the abstract in a well confined manner. Yes, The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are organised in a sequential order. Yes, the authors conducted the experiments in proper way, and interpretations are good. Can include statistical interpretations to strengthen the results. Need to update more references and recent reviews (minimum 30 reviews should be there). Kindly update the reviews.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	If Plates (images) can be included.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Kailashkumar
Department, University & Country	Mahendra Engineering College, India