

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105662
Title of the Manuscript:	Perception of Farmers towards level of awareness on Climate Change in Kishanganj district of Bihar
Type of the Article	Short communication based on the present status of the paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Only after revising the whole manuscript. Otherwise, this short study is limited only to a particular area and there will be no use for other readers outside India. 2. No, Suggestions were made in the manuscript. Please refer to them. 3. Acceptable but need revision. 4. The whole manuscript including all subsections must be revised well. The comments are given. 5. To evaluate whether the manuscript is scientifically correct the material and methods section must be more detailed. 6. References are not sufficient and recent, suggested references are from Elsevier, Springer, etc. The comments are given.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language editing and corrections must be done before accepting this manuscript for publication. There are so many language issues.	
Optional/General comments	The study is a short study and there are more studies similar to this. Therefore this study should be different from others to improve the quality and clarity of this work. Otherwise, it is like repeated work for a different study area. Improvements are must to accept this manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.D.K.L. Gunathilaka
Department, University & Country	University of Colombo, Sri Lanka