

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_105662</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Perception of Farmers towards level of awareness on Climate Change in Kishanganj district of Bihar</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	This is the important manuscript for scientific community  The theme is interesting and well developed  To improve  Yes so Chapter numbers must be assigned to each major part  The references are sufficient and just recent- is good  Fixed <b>Figure 1</b> which is blurred. It is necessary to differentiate the colours of the histograms. Titled the entities of the legend then remove the title in the figure because it is mentioned below. This is valid for all figures. <b>Table 2</b> should be in one block, not on two different pages for readability.	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The argument needs to be improved	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>	Overall, the article is acceptable because it establishes a valuable relationship between people and decision-makers between the perception of climate change and agricultural activities. It would be further pleasant if the influence of climate change on agricultural yields were also addressed by a comparative study between climate change indices and some relative agricultural data; adding to this are proposals for solutions. But I retain that the article is good.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kone Kanakounou Jean-Marie</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Oceanologic Research Center, Ivory Coast</b>